CHAPTER 8

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOODS

Thermal Properties of Food Constituents	8.1
Thermal Properties of Food	8.1
Water Content	8.1
Initial Freezing Point	8.1
Ice Fraction	8.2
Density	8.7
Specific Heat	8.7

THERMAL properties of foods and beverages must be known L to perform the various heat transfer calculations involved in the design of storage and refrigeration equipment and the estimation of process times for refrigerating, freezing, heating, or drying of foods and beverages. Because the thermal properties of foods and beverages strongly depend on chemical composition and temperature; and, because many food items are available, it is nearly impossible to experimentally determine and tabulate the thermal properties of foods and beverages for all possible conditions and compositions. However, composition data for foods and beverages are readily available from sources such as Holland et al. (1991) and USDA (1975). These data consist of the mass fractions of the major components found in food items. The thermal properties of food items can be predicted by using this composition data in conjunction with temperature dependent mathematical models of the thermal properties of the individual food constituents.

Thermophysical properties of foods and beverages that are often required for heat transfer calculations include density, specific heat, enthalpy, thermal conductivity, and thermal diffusivity. In addition, if the food item is a living organism, such as a fresh fruit or vegetable, it generates heat via respiration and loses moisture via transpiration. Both of these processes should be included in heat transfer calculations. This chapter summarizes prediction methods for estimating these thermophysical properties and includes examples on the use of these prediction methods. In addition, tables of measured thermophysical property data for various foods and beverages are provided.

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOOD CONSTITUENTS

Constituents commonly found in food items include water, protein, fat, carbohydrate, fiber, and ash. Choi and Okos (1986) developed mathematical models for predicting the thermal properties of these food components as functions of temperature in the range of – 40 °F to 300 °F (see Table 1). Choi and Okos also developed models for predicting the thermal properties of water and ice (Table 2). Table 3 lists the composition of various food items, including the mass percentage of moisture, protein, fat, carbohydrate, fiber, and ash (USDA 1996)

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOOD

In general, the thermophysical properties of a food or beverage are well behaved when the temperature of the food is above its initial freezing point. However, below the initial freezing point, the thermophysical properties vary greatly due to the complex processes involved during freezing.

Enthalpy	. 8.8
Thermal Conductivity	. 8.9
Thermal Diffusivity	8.17
Heat of Respiration	8.17
Transpiration of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables	8.19
Surface Heat Transfer Coefficient	8.24
Nomenclature	8.27

The initial freezing point of a food is somewhat lower than the freezing point of pure water due to dissolved substances in the moisture in the food. At the initial freezing point, a portion of the water within the food crystallizes and the remaining solution becomes more concentrated. Thus, the freezing point of the unfrozen portion of the food is further reduced. The temperature continues to decrease as the separation of ice crystals increases the concentration of the solutes in solution and depresses the freezing point further. Thus, the ice and water fractions in the frozen food depend on temperature. Because the thermophysical properties of ice and water are quite different, the thermophysical properties of frozen foods vary dramatically with temperature. In addition, the thermophysical properties of the food above and below the freezing point are drastically different.

WATER CONTENT

Because water is the predominant constituent in most foods, water content significantly influences the thermophysical properties of foods. Average values of moisture content (percent by mass) are given in Table 3. For fruits and vegetables, water content varies with the cultivar as well as with the stage of development or maturity when harvested, the growing conditions, and the amount of moisture lost after harvest. In general, the values given in Table 3 apply to mature products shortly after harvest. For fresh meat, the values of water content given in Table 3 are at the time of slaughter or after the usual aging period. For cured or processed products, the water content depends on the particular process or product.

INITIAL FREEZING POINT

Foods and beverages do not freeze completely at a single temperature but rather they freeze over a range of temperatures. In fact, foods which are high in sugar content or foods packed in high syrup concentrations may never be completely frozen, even at typical frozen food storage temperatures. Thus, there is not a distinct freezing point for foods and beverages, but an initial freezing point at which the crystallization process begins.

The initial freezing point of a food or beverage is important not only for determining the proper storage conditions for the food item, but also for the calculation of thermophysical properties. During the storage of fresh fruits and vegetables, for example, the commodity temperature must be kept above its initial freezing point to avoid freezing damage. In addition, because there are drastic changes in the thermophysical properties of foods as they freeze, knowledge of the initial freezing point of a food item is necessary to accurately model its thermophysical properties. Experimentally determined values. of the initial freezing point of foods and beverages are given in Table 3.

The preparation of this chapter is assigned to TC 10.9, Refrigeration Application for Foods and Beverages.

Thermal Property	Food Component	Thermal Property Model
Thermal Conductivity, Btu/(h·ft·°F)	Protein	$k = 9.0535 \times 10^{-2} + 4.1486 \times 10^{-4}t - 4.8467 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Fat	$k = 1.3273 \times 10^{-1} - 8.8405 \times 10^{-4}t - 3.1652 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$k = 1.0133 \times 10^{-1} + 4.9478 \times 10^{-4}t - 7.7238 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Fiber	$k = 9.2499 \times 10^{-2} + 4.3731 \times 10^{-4}t - 5.6500 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Ash	$k = 1.7553 \times 10^{-1} + 4.8292 \times 10^{-4}t - 5.1839 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
Thermal Diffusivity, ft ² /h	Protein	$\alpha = 2.3170 \times 10^{-3} + 1.1364 \times 10^{-5}t - 1.7516 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
	Fat	$\alpha = 3.9137 \times 10^{-3} - 2.6765 \times 10^{-6}t - 4.5790 \times 10^{-10}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$\alpha = 2.7387 \times 10^{-3} + 1.3198 \times 10^{-5}t - 2.7769 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
	Fiber	$\alpha = 2.4818 \times 10^{-3} + 1.2873 \times 10^{-5}t - 2.6553 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
	Ash	$\alpha = 4.5565 \times 10^{-3} + 8.9716 \times 10^{-6}t - 1.4644 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
Density, lb/ft ³	Protein	$\rho = 8.3599 \times 10^1 - 1.7979 \times 10^{-2}t$
	Fat	$\rho = 5.8246 \times 10^1 - 1.4482 \times 10^{-2}t$
	Carbohydrate	$\rho = 1.0017 \times 10^2 - 1.0767 \times 10^{-2}t$
	Fiber	$\rho = 8.2280 \times 10^1 - 1.2690 \times 10^{-2}t$
	Ash	$\rho = 1.5162 \times 10^2 - 9.7329 \times 10^{-3}t$
Specific Heat, Btu/(lb·°F)	Protein	$c_p = 4.7442 \times 10^{-1} + 1.6661 \times 10^{-4} t - 9.6784 \times 10^{-8} t^2$
	Fat	$c_p = 4.6730 \times 10^{-1} + 2.1815 \times 10^{-4}t - 3.5391 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$c_p = 3.6114 \times 10^{-1} + 2.8843 \times 10^{-4}t - 4.3788 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Fiber	$c_p = 4.3276 \times 10^{-1} + 2.6485 \times 10^{-4}t - 3.4285 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Ash	$c_p = 2.5266 \times 10^{-1} + 2.6810 \times 10^{-4}t - 2.7141 \times 10^{-7}t^2$

Table 1 Thermal Property Models for Food Components $(-40^{\circ}F \le t \le 300^{\circ}F)$

Source: Choi and Okos (1986)

Table 2 Thermal Property Models for Water and Ice $(-40^{\circ}F \le t \le 300^{\circ}F)$

	Thermal Property	Thermal Property Model
Water	Thermal Conductivity, Btu/(h·ft·°F)	$k_w = 3.1064 \times 10^{-1} + 6.4226 \times 10^{-4}t - 1.1955 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Thermal Diffusivity, ft ² /h	$\alpha_w = 4.6428 \times 10^{-3} + 1.5289 \times 10^{-5}t - 2.8730 \times 10^{-8}t^2$
	Density, lb/ft ³	$\rho_w = 6.2174 \times 10^1 + 4.7425 \times 10^{-3}t - 7.2397 \times 10^{-5}t^2$
	Specific Heat, Btu/(lb·°F) (For temp. range of -40 to 32°F)	$c_w = 1.0725 - 5.3992 \times 10^{-3}t + 7.3361 \times 10^{-5}t^2$
	Specific Heat, Btu/(lb·°F) (For temp. range of 32 to 300°F)	$c_w = 9.9827 \times 10^{-1} - 3.7879 \times 10 - 5t + 4.0347 \times 10^{-7} t^2$
ce	Thermal Conductivity, Btu/(h·ft·°F)	$k_{ice} = 1.3652 - 3.1648 \times 10^{-3}t + 1.8108 \times 10^{-5}t^2$
	Thermal Diffusivity, ft ² /h	$\alpha_{ice} = 5.0909 \times 10^{-2} - 2.0371 \times 10^{-4}t + 1.1366t^2 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Density, lb/ft ³	$\rho_{ice} = 5.7385 \times 10^1 - 4.5333 \times 10^{-3}t$
	Specific Heat, Btu/(lb.°F)	$c_{ice} = 4.6677 \times 10^{-1} + 8.0636 \times 10^{-4}t$

Source: Choi and Okos (1986)

where

ICE FRACTION

To predict the thermophysical properties of frozen foods, which depend strongly on the fraction of ice within the food, the mass fraction of water that has crystallized must be determined. For temperatures below the initial freezing point, the mass fraction of water that has crystallized in a food item is a function of temperature.

In general, food items consist of water, dissolved solids and undissolved solids. During the freezing process, as some of the liquid water crystallizes, the solids dissolved in the remaining liquid water become increasingly more concentrated, thus lowering the freezing temperature. This unfrozen solution can be assumed to obey the freezing point depression equation given by Raoult's law (Pham 1987). Thus, based on Raoult's law, Chen (1985) proposed the following model for predicting the mass fraction of ice x_{ice} in a food item:

$$x_{ice} = \frac{x_s R T_o^2(t_f - t)}{M_s L_o(t_f - 32)(t - 32)}$$
(1)

R = universal gas constant = 1.986 Btu/lb mol·°R

 T_o = freezing point of water = 491.7°R

 L_o = latent heat of fusion of water at 491.7°R = 143.4 Btu/lb

 t_f = initial freezing point of food, °F

 $t = \text{food temperature, }^\circ F$

The relative molecular mass of the soluble solids within the food item may be estimated as follows:

$$M_{s} = \frac{x_{s}RT_{o}^{2}}{-L_{o}(x_{wo} - x_{b})(t_{f} - 32)}$$
(2)

where x_{wo} is the mass fraction of water in the unfrozen food item and x_b is the mass fraction of bound water in the food (Schwartzberg 1976). Bound water is that portion of the water in a food item that is bound to solids in the food, and thus is unavailable for freezing.

The mass fraction of bound water may be estimated as follows:

$$x_b = 0.4x_p \tag{3}$$

where x_p is the mass fraction of protein in the food item.

 $x_s =$ mass fraction of solids in food item

 $\tilde{M_s}$ = relative molecular mass of soluble solids

	Moisture Content, %	Protein, %	Fat, %	Carbohydrate, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %	Initial Freezing Point,	Specific Heat Above Freezing,	Specific Heat Below Freezing
Food Item	x _{wo}	x_p	x_f	x_c	x _{fb}	x_a	°F	Btu/lb·°F	Btu/lb·°F
Vegetables			0		5				
Artichokes, Globe	84.9	3.27	0.15	10.51	5.40	1.13	29.8	0.87	0.45
Artichokes, Jerusalem	78.0	2.00	0.01	17.44	1.60	2.54	27.5	0.83	0.44
Asparagus	92.4	2.28	0.20	4.54	2.10	0.57	30.9	0.94	0.48
Beans, snap	90.3	1.82	0.12	7.14	3.40	0.66	30.7	0.94	0.47
Beans, lima	70.2	6.84	0.86	20.16	4.90	1.89	30.9	0.73	0.40
Beets	87.6	1.61	0.17	9.56	2.80	1.08	30.0	0.90	0.40
Broccoli	90.7	2.98	0.35	5.24	3.00	0.92	30.9	0.92	0.47
Brussels sprouts	86.0	3.38	0.30	8.96	3.80	1.37	30.6	0.88	0.40
Cabbage	92.2	1.44	0.27	5.43	2.30	0.71	30.4	0.94	0.47
Carrots	87.8	1.03	0.19	10.14	3.00	0.87	29.5	0.90	0.46
Cauliflower	91.9	1.98	0.21	5.20	2.50	0.71	30.6	0.93	0.47
Celeriac	88.0	1.50	0.30	9.20	1.80	1.00	30.4	0.91	0.46
Celery	94.6	0.75	0.14	3.65	1.70	0.82	31.1	0.95	0.48
Collards	90.6	1.57	0.22	7.11	3.60	0.55	30.6	0.92	0.46
Corn, sweet, yellow	76.0	3.22	1.18	19.02	2.70	0.62	30.9	0.79	0.42
Cucumbers	96.0	0.69	0.13	2.76	0.80	0.41	31.1	0.98	0.49
Eggplant	92.0	1.02	0.18	6.07	2.50	0.71	30.6	0.94	0.48
Endive	93.8	1.25	0.20	3.35	3.10	1.41	31.8	0.94	0.48
Garlic	58.6	6.36	0.50	33.07	2.10	1.50	30.6	0.79	0.42
Ginger, root	81.7	1.74	0.73	15.09	2.00	0.77	_	0.92	0.46
Horseradish	78.7	9.40	1.40	8.28	2.00	2.26	28.8	0.78	0.42
Kale	84.5	3.30	0.70	10.01	2.00	1.53	31.1	0.89	0.46
Kohlrabi	91.0	1.70	0.10	6.20	3.60	1.00	30.2	0.92	0.47
Leeks	83.0	1.50	0.30	14.15	1.80	1.05	30.7	0.95	0.46
Lettuce, iceberg	95.9	1.01	0.19	2.09	1.40	0.48	31.6	0.96	0.48
Mushrooms	91.8	2.09	0.42	4.65	1.20	0.89	30.4	0.93	0.47
Okra	89.6	2.00	0.10	7.63	3.20	0.70	28.8	0.92	0.46
Onions	89.7	1.16	0.16	8.63	1.80	0.37	30.4	0.90	0.46
Onions, dehydrated flakes	3.9	8.95	0.46	83.28	9.20	3.38	—	_	—
Parsley	87.7	2.97	0.79	6.33	3.30	2.20	30.0	0.86	0.46
Parsnips	79.5	1.20	0.30	17.99	4.90	0.98	30.4	0.84	0.46
Peas, green	78.9	5.42	0.40	14.46	5.10	0.87	30.9	0.79	0.42
Peppers, freeze dried	2.0	17.90	3.00	68.70	21.30	8.40	—	—	—
Peppers, sweet, green	92.2	0.89	0.19	6.43	1.80	0.30	30.7	0.94	0.47
Potatoes, main crop	79.0	2.07	0.10	17.98	1.60	0.89	30.9	0.87	0.44
Potatoes, sweet	72.8	1.65	0.30	24.28	3.00	0.95	29.7	0.75	0.40
Pumpkins	91.6	1.00	0.10	6.50	0.50	0.80	30.6	0.92	0.47
Radishes	94.8	0.60	0.54	3.59	1.60	0.54	30.7	0.95	0.48
Rhubarb	93.6	0.90	0.20	4.54	1.80	0.76	30.4	0.96	0.48
Rutabaga	89.7	1.20	0.20	8.13	2.50	0.81	30.0	0.91	0.47
Salsify (vegetable oyster)	77.0	3.30	0.20	18.60	3.30	0.90	30.0	0.83	0.44
Spinach	91.6	2.86	0.35	3.50	2.70	1.72	31.5	0.94	0.48
Squash, summer	94.2	0.94	0.24	4.04	1.90	0.58	31.1	0.96	0.48
Squash, winter	87.8	0.80	0.10	10.42	1.50	0.90	30.6	0.91	0.46
Tomatoes, mature green	93.0	1.20	0.20	5.10	1.10	0.50	30.9	0.95	0.48
Tomatoes, ripe	93.8	0.85	0.33	4.64	1.10	0.42	31.1	0.95	0.48
Turnip greens	91.1	1.50	0.30	5.73	3.20	1.40	31.6	0.94	0.47
Turnip	91.9 05.1	0.90	0.10	6.23	1.80	0.70	30.0	0.93	0.47
Watercress	95.1	2.30	0.10	1.29	1.50	1.20	31.5	0.96	0.48
Yams	69.6	1.53	0.17	27.89	4.10	0.82		0.84	0.42
Fruits									
Apples, fresh	83.9	0.19	0.36	15.25	2.70	0.26	30.0	0.86	0.44
Apples, dried	31.8	0.93	0.32	65.89	8.70	1.10		0.54	0.27
Apricots	86.3	1.40	0.39	11.12	2.40	0.75	30.0	0.88	0.46
Avocados	74.3	1.98	15.32	7.39	5.00	1.04	31.5	0.91	0.49
Bananas	74.3	1.03	0.48	23.43	2.40	0.80	30.6	0.80	0.42

 Table 3
 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods^a

	Moisture Content, %	Protein, %	Fat, %	Carbohydrate, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %	Initial Freezing Point,	Specific Heat Above Freezing,	Specific Hea Below Freezing
Food Item	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	x_c	x_{fb}	x_a	°F	Btu/lb∙°F	Btu/lb∙°F
Blackberries	85.6	0.72	0.39	12.76	5.30	0.48	30.6	0.88	0.40
Blueberries	84.6	0.67	0.38	14.13	2.70	0.21	29.1	0.86	0.45
Cantaloupes	89.8	0.88	0.28	8.36	0.80	0.71	29.8	0.94	0.48
Cherries, sour	86.1	1.00	0.30	12.18	1.60	0.40	28.9	0.87	0.45
Cherries, sweet	80.8	1.20	0.96	16.55	2.30	0.53	28.8	0.87	0.45
Cranberries	86.5	0.39	0.20	12.68	4.20	0.19	30.4	0.90	0.46
Currants, European black	82.0	1.40	0.41	15.38	0.00	0.86	30.2	0.91	0.46
Currants, red and white	84.0	1.40	0.20	13.80	4.30	0.66	30.2	0.91	0.46
Dates, cured	22.5	1.97	0.45	73.51	7.50	1.58	3.7	0.36	0.26
Figs, fresh	79.1	0.75	0.30	19.18	3.30	0.66	27.7	0.82	0.43
Figs, dried	28.4	3.05	1.17	65.35	9.30	2.01	_	0.39	0.27
Gooseberries	87.9	0.88	0.58	10.18	4.30	0.49	30.0	0.90	0.46
Grapefruit	90.9	0.63	0.10	8.08	1.10	0.31	30.0	0.91	0.46
Grapes, American	81.3	0.63	0.35	17.15	1.00	0.57	29.1	0.86	0.44
Grapes, European type	80.6	0.66	0.58	17.77	1.00	0.44	28.2	0.86	0.44
Lemons	87.4	1.20	0.30	10.70	4.70	0.40	29.5	0.92	0.46
limes	88.3	0.70	0.20	10.54	2.80	0.30	29.1	0.89	0.46
Mangos	81.7	0.51	0.27	17.00	1.80	0.50	30.4	0.90	0.46
Melons, casaba	92.0	0.90	0.10	6.20	0.80	0.80	30.0	0.96	0.48
Aelons, honeydew	89.7	0.46	0.10	9.18	0.60	0.60	30.4	0.94	0.48
Aelons, watermelon	91.5	0.62	0.43	7.18	0.50	0.26	31.3	0.97	0.48
Vectarines	86.3	0.94	0.46	11.78	1.60	0.54	30.4	0.90	0.49
Dlives	80.0	0.84	10.68	6.26	3.20	2.23	29.5	0.80	0.42
Dranges	82.3	1.30	0.30	15.50	4.50	0.60	30.6	0.90	0.46
Peaches, fresh	87.7	0.70	0.90	11.10	2.00	0.46	30.4	0.90	0.46
Peaches, dried	31.8	3.61	0.76	61.33	8.20	2.50		0.55	0.28
Pears	83.8	0.39	0.40	15.11	2.40	0.28	29.1	0.90	0.45
Persimmons	64.4	0.80	0.40	33.50	0.00	0.90	28.0	0.84	0.43
Pineapples	86.5	0.39	0.43	12.39	1.20	0.29	30.2	0.88	0.45
lums	85.2	0.79	0.62	13.01	1.50	0.39	30.6	0.84	0.46
Pomegranates	81.0	0.95	0.30	17.17	0.60	0.61	26.6	0.88	0.48
Prunes, dried	32.4	2.61	0.52	62.73	7.10	1.76		0.57	0.48
Juinces	83.8	0.40	0.10	15.30	1.90	0.40	28.4	0.88	0.45
Raisins, seedless	15.4	3.22	0.46	79.13	4.00	1.77		0.00	0.45
Raspberries	86.6	0.91	0.55	11.57	6.80	0.40	30.9	0.85	0.45
Strawberries	91.6	0.61	0.37	7.02	2.30	0.43	30.6	0.93	0.43
Fangerines	87.6	0.63	0.19	11.19	2.30	0.39	30.0	0.93	0.50
Whole Fish	07.0	0.05	0.17	11.17	2.50	0.57	50.0	0.95	0.50
Cod	81.2	17.81	0.67	0.0	0.0	1.16	28.0	0.90	0.49
Iaddock	79.9	18.91	0.72	0.0	0.0	1.10	28.0	0.82	0.43
Halibut	77.9	20.81	2.29	0.0	0.0	1.36	28.0	0.80	0.43
Ierring, kippered	59.7	24.58	12.37	0.0	0.0	1.94	28.0	0.30	0.43
Mackerel, Atlantic	63.6	18.60	12.37	0.0	0.0	1.94	28.0 28.0	0.76	0.41
Perch	78.7	18.60	13.89	0.0	0.0	1.33	28.0	0.84	0.37
Pollock, Atlantic	78.7	18.62	0.98	0.0	0.0	1.20	28.0 28.0	0.84	0.44
Salmon, pink	78.2 76.4	19.44 19.94	0.98 3.45	0.0	0.0	1.41	28.0 28.0	0.85	0.44
Saimon, pink Funa, bluefin	76.4 68.1			0.0	0.0			0.71	
Whiting	68.1 80.3	23.33 18.31	4.90 1.31	0.0	0.0	1.18 1.30	28.0 28.0	0.76	0.41 0.44
Shellfish									
Clams	81.8	12.77	0.97	2.57	0.0	1.87	28.0	_	_
obster, American	76.8	18.80	0.90	0.50	0.0	2.20	28.0	0.83	0.44
Dysters	85.2	7.05	2.46	3.91	0.0	1.42	28.0	0.83	0.44
Scallop, meat	83.2 78.6	16.78	2.40 0.76	2.36	0.0	1.42	28.0	0.83	0.44
Shrimp	75.9	20.31	1.73	0.91	0.0	1.33	28.0 28.0	0.83	0.44
Beef									
Brisket	55.2	16.94	26.54	0.0	0.0	0.80	_	_	_
Carcass, choice	57.3	17.32	24.05	0.0	0.0	0.80	28.0		
Carcass, enoice	58.2	17.32	24.05	0.0	0.0	0.01	20.0		-

58.2

Carcass, select

17.48

22.55

0.0

0.0

0.82

28.9

 Table 3
 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods^a (Continued)

	Moisture Content, %	Protein, %	Fat, %	Carbohydrate, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %	Initial Freezing Point,	Specific Heat Above Freezing,	Specific Heat Below Freezing
Food Item	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	x_c	x_{fb}	x_a	° F	Btu/lb∙°F	Btu/lb∙°F
Liver	69.0	20.00	3.85	5.82	0.0	1.34	28.9	0.82	0.41
Ribs, whole (ribs 6-12)	54.5	16.37	26.98	0.0	0.0	0.77	_		—
Round, full cut, lean and fat	64.8	20.37	12.81	0.0	0.0	0.97	_	0.80	0.40
Round, full cut, lean	70.8	22.03	4.89	0.0	0.0	1.07	—	0.80	0.40
Sirloin, lean	71.7	21.24	4.40	0.0	0.0	1.08	28.9	0.74	0.37
Short loin, porterhouse steak, lean	69.6	20.27	8.17	0.0	0.0	1.01	_	_	_
Short loin, T-Bone steak, lean	69.7	20.78	7.27	0.0	0.0	1.27	—	—	—
Tenderloin, lean	68.4	20.78	7.90	0.0	0.0	1.04	—	—	—
Veal, lean	75.9	20.20	2.87	0.0	0.0	1.08	_	0.80	0.46
Pork									
Fatback	7.7	2.92	88.69	0.0	0.0	0.70	_	0.62	0.23
Bacon	31.6	8.66	57.54	0.09	0.0	2.13	_	0.50	0.30
Belly	36.7	9.34	53.01	0.0	0.0	0.49	_	0.58	0.29
Carcass	49.8	13.91	35.07	0.0	0.0	0.72	_	0.62	0.31
Ham, cured, whole lean	68.3	22.32	5.71	0.05	0.0	3.66	_	0.74	0.37
Ham, country cured lean	55.9	27.80	8.32	0.30	0.0	7.65	_	0.65	0.33
Shoulder, whole, lean	72.6	19.55	7.14	0.0	0.0	1.02	28.0	0.69	0.35
							2010	0.07	0.000
Sausage	10.0	10.50	22.00	2.12	0.0	2.67			
Braunschweiger	48.0	13.50	32.09	3.13	0.0	3.27			
Frankfurter	53.9	11.28	29.15	2.55	0.0	3.15	28.9	0.86	0.56
Italian	51.1	14.25	31.33	0.65	0.0	2.70	—		
Polish	53.2	14.10	28.72	1.63	0.0	2.40	—	0.72	0.36
Pork	44.5	11.69	40.29	1.02	0.0	2.49	—	0.89	0.56
Smoked links	39.3	22.20	31.70	2.10	0.0	4.70	_	0.86	0.56
Poultry Products									
Chicken	66.0	18.60	15.06	0.0	0.0	0.79	27.0	0.79	0.37
Duck	48.5	11.49	39.34	0.0	0.0	0.68	—	0.81	0.41
Turkey	70.4	20.42	8.02	0.0	0.0	0.88	—	0.79	0.37
Egg									
White	87.8	10.52	0.0	1.03	0.0	0.64	30.9	0.93	0.47
White, dried	14.6	76.92	0.04	4.17	0.0	4.25	_	0.45	0.23
Whole	75.3	12.49	10.02	1.22	0.0	0.94	30.9	0.76	0.40
Whole, dried	3.1	47.35	40.95	4.95	0.0	3.65	_	0.25	0.21
Yolk	48.8	16.76	30.87	1.78	0.0	1.77	30.9	0.67	0.35
Yolk, salted	50.8	14.00	23.00	1.60	0.0	10.60	1.0	0.70	0.35
Yolk, sugared	51.2	13.80	22.75	10.80	0.0	1.40	25.0	0.71	0.35
Lamb									
Composite of cuts, lean	73.4	20.29	5.25	0.0	0.0	1.06	28.6	0.77	0.39
Leg, whole, lean	73.4	20.29	4.51	0.0	0.0	1.00	20.0	0.79	0.39
	/4.1	20.30	4.51	0.0	0.0	1.07		0.79	0.40
Dairy Products									
Butter	17.9	0.85	81.11	0.06	0.0	0.04	—	0.52	—
Cheese									
Camembert	51.8	19.80	24.26	0.46	0.0	3.68	_	0.71	0.36
Cheddar	36.8	24.90	33.14	1.28	0.0	3.93	8.8	0.62	0.31
Cottage, uncreamed	79.8	17.27	0.42	1.85	0.0	0.69	29.8	0.87	0.44
Cream	53.8	7.55	34.87	2.66	0.0	1.17	_	0.70	0.45
Gouda	41.5	24.94	27.44	2.22	0.0	3.94	_	_	
Limburger	48.4	20.05	27.25	0.49	0.0	3.79	18.7	0.70	0.40
Mozzarella	54.1	19.42	21.60	2.22	0.0	2.62			
Parmesan, hard	29.2	35.75	25.83	3.22	0.0	6.04	_	_	
Roquefort	39.4	21.54	30.64	2.00	0.0	6.44	2.7	0.65	0.32
Swiss	37.2	28.43	27.45	3.38	0.0	3.53	14.0	0.64	0.32
Processed American	37.2	28.43	31.25	1.30	0.0	5.84	14.0 19.6	0.64	0.30
	27.4	44.IJ	51.25	1.50	0.0	5.04	17.0	0.04	0.52
Cream									
Half and half	80.6	2.96	11.50	4.30	0.0	0.67	—	0.88	0.44
Table	73.8	2.70	19.31	3.66	0.0	0.58	28.0	0.83	0.42
Heavy whipping	57.7	2.05	37.00	2.79	0.0	0.45		0.85	0.40

 Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods^a (Continued)

	Moisture Content, %	Protein, %	Fat, %	Carbohydrate, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %	Initial Freezing Point,	Specific Heat Above Freezing,	Below Freezing
Food Item	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	x _c	x_{fb}	x _a	°F	Btu/lb∙°F	Btu/lb∙°F
Ice Cream									
Chocolate	55.7	3.80	11.0	28.20	1.20	1.00	21.9	0.78	0.45
Strawberry	60.0	3.20	8.40	27.60	0.30	0.70	21.9	0.78	0.45
Vanilla	61.0	3.50	11.00	23.60	0.0	0.90	21.9	0.78	0.45
Milk									
Canned, condensed sweetened	27.2	7.91	8.70	54.40	0.0	1.83	5.0	0.56	0.28
Evaporated	74.0	6.81	7.56	10.04	0.0	1.55	29.5	0.84	0.42
Skim	90.8	3.41	0.18	4.85	0.0	0.76		0.96	0.60
Skim, dried	3.2	36.16	0.77	51.98	0.0	7.93		0.42	0.21
Whole	87.7	3.28	3.66	4.65	0.0	0.72	30.9	0.92	0.46
Whole, dried	2.5	26.32	26.71	38.42	0.0	6.08		0.41	0.21
Whey, acid, dried	3.5	11.73	0.54	73.45	0.0	10.77		0.43	0.22
Whey, sweet, dried	3.2	12.93	1.07	74.46	0.0	8.35	_	0.43	0.22
Nuts, Shelled									
Almonds	4.4	19.95	52.21	20.40	10.90	3.03	_	0.43	0.22
Filberts	5.4	13.04	62.64	15.30	6.10	3.61		0.44	0.22
Peanuts, raw	6.5	25.80	49.24	16.14	8.50	2.33	_	0.44	0.22
Peanuts, dry roasted with salt	1.6	23.68	49.66	21.51	8.00	3.60		0.41	0.21
Pecans	4.8	7.75	67.64	18.24	7.60	1.56	_	0.42	0.21
Walnuts, English	3.6	14.29	61.87	18.34	4.80	1.86	—	0.43	0.21
Candy									
Fudge, vanilla	10.9	1.10	5.40	82.30	0.0	0.40	_	0.46	0.23
Marshmallows	16.4	1.80	0.20	81.30	0.10	0.30	_	0.50	0.25
Milk chocolate	1.3	6.90	30.70	59.20	3.40	1.50	_	0.41	0.20
Peanut brittle	1.8	7.50	19.10	69.30	2.00	1.50	—	0.41	0.21
Juice and Beverages									
Apple juice, unsweetened	87.9	0.06	0.11	11.68	0.10	0.22		0.91	0.47
Grapefruit juice, sweetened	87.4	0.58	0.09	11.13	0.10	0.82	_	0.90	0.46
Grape juice, unsweetened	84.1	0.56	0.08	14.96	0.10	0.29	_	0.88	0.45
Lemon juice	92.5	0.40	0.29	6.48	0.40	0.36	_	0.94	0.48
Lime juice, unsweetened	92.5	0.25	0.23	6.69	0.40	0.31	_	0.94	0.48
Orange juice	89.0	0.59	0.14	9.85	0.20	0.41	31.3	0.91	0.47
Pineapple juice, unsweetened	85.5	0.32	0.08	13.78	0.20	0.30	_	0.89	0.46
Prune juice	81.2	0.61	0.03	17.45	1.00	0.68	_	0.85	0.45
Tomato juice	93.9	0.76	0.06	4.23	0.40	1.05		0.95	0.48
Cranberry-apple juice drink	82.8	0.10	0.0	17.10	0.10	0.0	_	0.86	0.45
Cranberry-grape juice drink	85.6	0.20	0.10	14.00	0.10	0.10		0.89	0.46
Fruit punch drink	88.0	0.0	0.0	11.90	0.10	0.10		0.91	0.47
Club soda	99.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.10		1.00	0.50
Cola	89.4	0.0	0.0	10.40	0.0	0.10		0.92	0.47
Cream soda	86.7	0.0	0.0	13.30	0.0	0.10		0.89	0.46
Ginger ale	91.2	0.0	0.0	8.70	0.0	0.0	_	0.93	0.48
Grape soda	88.8	0.0	0.0	11.20	0.0	0.10	_	0.91	0.47
Lemon-lime soda	89.5	0.0	0.0	10.40	0.0	0.10	_	0.92	0.47
Orange soda	87.6	0.0	0.0	12.30	0.0	0.10	_	0.90	0.46
Root beer	89.3	0.0	0.0	10.60	0.0	0.10	_	0.92	0.47
Chocolate milk, 2% fat	83.6	3.21	2.00	10.40	0.50	0.81	_	0.87	0.45
Miscellaneous									
Honey	17.1	0.30	0.0	82.40	0.20	0.20		_	_
Maple syrup	32.0	0.00	0.20	67.20	0.0	0.60	_	0.49	0.31
Popcorn, air-popped	4.1	12.00	4.20	77.90	15.10	1.80	_		_
Popcorn, oil-popped	2.8	9.00	28.10	57.20	10.00	2.90	_		_
Veast baker's compressed	69.0	8 40	1.90	18 10	8 10	1.80		0.77	0.41

 Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods^a (Continued)

^aComposition data from USDA (1996). Initial freezing point data from ASHRAE (1993). Specific heats from Polley et al. (1980) and ASHRAE (1993).

69.0

8.40

1.90

18.10

8.10

1.80

0.77

0.41

Yeast, baker's, compressed

Substitution of Equation (2) for relative molecular mass into Equation (1) yields a simple method for predicting the ice fraction as follows (Miles 1974):

$$x_{ice} = (x_{wo} - x_b) \left[1 - \frac{t_f - 32}{t - 32} \right]$$
(4)

Because Equation (4) underestimates the ice fraction at temperatures near the initial freezing point and overestimates the ice fraction at lower temperatures, Tchigeov (1979) proposed an empirical relationship to estimate the mass fraction of ice:

$$x_{ice} = \frac{1.105 x_{wo}}{1 + \frac{0.7138}{\ln[1 + (t_f - t)/1.8]}}$$
(5)

Fikiin (1996) notes that Equation (5) applies to a wide variety of food items and provides satisfactory accuracy.

Example 1. A 300 lb beef carcass is to be frozen to a temperature of 0° F. What is the mass of the frozen water and the mass of the unfrozen water at 0° F?

Solution:

From Table 3, the mass fraction of water in the beef carcass is 0.58 and the initial freezing point for the beef carcass is 28.9°F. Using Equation (5), the mass fraction of ice is:

$$x_{ice} = \frac{1.105 \times 0.58}{1 + \frac{0.7138}{\ln[1 + (28.9 - 0)/1.8]}} = 0.51$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is:

$$x_u = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.58 - 0.51 = 0.07$$

The mass of frozen water at 0°F is:

$$x_{ice} \times 300 \text{ lb} = 0.51 \times 300 = 153 \text{ lb}$$

The mass of unfrozen water at 0°F is:

$$x_u \times 300 \text{ lb} = 0.07 \times 300 = 21 \text{ lb}$$

DENSITY

Modeling the density of foods and beverages requires knowledge of the food porosity, as well as the mass fraction and density of the food components. The density ρ of foods and beverages can be calculated accordingly:

$$\rho = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} \tag{6}$$

where ε is the porosity, x_i is the mass fraction of the food constituents, and ρ_i is the density of the food constituents. The porosity ε is required to model the density of granular food items stored in bulk, such as grains and rice. For other food items, the porosity is zero.

SPECIFIC HEAT

Specific heat is a measure of the energy required to change the temperature of a food item by one degree. Therefore, the specific heat of foods or beverages can be used to calculate the heat load imposed on the refrigeration equipment by the cooling or freezing of foods and beverages. In unfrozen foods, specific heat becomes slightly lower as the temperature rises from 32 °F to 68 °F. For frozen foods, there is a large decrease in specific heat as the temperature decreases. Table 3 lists experimentally determined values of the specific heats for various foods above and below freezing.

Unfrozen Food

The specific heat of a food item, at temperatures above its initial freezing point, can be obtained from the mass average of the specific heats of the food components. Thus, the specific heat of an unfrozen food item c_u may be determined as follows:

$$c_u = \sum c_i x_i \tag{7}$$

where c_i is the specific heat of the individual food components and x_i is the mass fraction of the food components.

A simpler model for the specific heat of an unfrozen food item is presented by Chen (1985). If detailed composition data is not available, the following expression for the specific heat of an unfrozen food item can be used:

$$c_u = 1.0 - 0.55x_s - 0.15x_s^3 \tag{8}$$

where c_u is the specific heat of the unfrozen food item in Btu/lb·°F and x_s is the mass fraction of the solids in the food item.

Frozen

Below the freezing point of the food item, the sensible heat due to temperature change and the latent heat due to the fusion of water must be considered. Because latent heat is not released at a constant temperature, but rather over a range of temperatures, an apparent specific heat must be used to account for both the sensible and latent heat effects. A common method to predict the apparent specific heat of food items is that of Schwartzberg (1976):

$$c_{a} = c_{u} + (x_{b} - x_{wo})\Delta c + Ex_{s} \left[\frac{RT_{o}^{2}}{M_{w}(t - 32)^{2}} - 0.8\Delta c \right]$$
(9)

where

- c_a = apparent specific heat
- c_{μ} = specific heat of food item above initial freezing point
- $x_b = \text{mass fraction of bound water}$
- x_{wo} = mass fraction of water above initial freezing point
- Δc = difference between specific heats of water and ice = $c_w c_{ice}$ E = ratio of relative molecular masses of water M_w and food solids M_s
 - $(E = M_w/M_s)$
- R = universal gas constant = 1.986 Btu/lb mol·°R
- T_{o} = freezing point of water = 491.7°R
- t = food temperature

The specific heat of the food item above the freezing point may be estimated with Equation (7) or Equation (8).

Schwartzberg (1981) expanded on his earlier work and developed an alternative method for determining the apparent specific heat of a food item below the initial freezing point as follows:

$$c_a = c_f + (x_{wo} - x_b) \left[\frac{L_o(t_o - t_f)}{t_o - t} \right]$$
(10)

where

- c_f = specific heat of fully frozen food item (typically at -40°F)
- $t_o =$ freezing point of water = 32°F
- t_f = initial freezing point of food, °F
- $t = \text{food temperature, }^\circ F$
- L_o = latent heat of fusion of water = 143.4 Btu/lb

Experimentally determined values of the specific heat of fully frozen food items are given in Table 3.

A slightly simpler apparent specific heat model, which is similar in form to that of Schwartzberg (1976), was developed by Chen

(1985). Chen's model is an expansion of Siebel's equation (Siebel 1892) for specific heat and has the following form:

$$c_a = 0.37 + 0.30x_s + \frac{x_s R T_o^2}{M_s (t-32)^2}$$
(11)

where

 c_a = apparent specific heat, Btu/lb·°F

- $x_s =$ mass fraction of solids
- R = universal gas constant
- T_o = freezing point of water = 491.7 °R
- M_s = relative molecular mass of soluble solids in food item

 $t = \text{food temperature, }^\circ F$

If the relative molecular mass of the soluble solids is unknown, Equation (2) may be used to estimate the molecular mass. Substitution of Equation (2) into Equation (11) yields:

$$c_a = 0.37 + 0.30x_s - \frac{L_o(x_{wo} - x_b)(t_f - 32)}{(t - 32)^2}$$
(12)

Example 2. A 300 lb lamb is to be cooled from 50°F to 32°F. Using the specific heat, determine the amount of heat which must be removed from the lamb.

Solution:

From Table 3, the composition of lamb is given as follows:

 $\begin{array}{rll} x_{wo} &= 0.7342 & x_f &= 0.0525 \\ x_p &= 0.2029 & x_a &= 0.0106 \end{array}$

Evaluate the specific heat of lamb at an average temperature of $(50 + 32)/2 = 41^{\circ}$ F. From Tables 1 and 2, the specific heat of the food constituents may be determined as follows:

- $\begin{array}{l} c_w &= 9.9827 \times 10^{-1} 3.7879 \times 10^{-5} (41) + 4.0347 \times 10^{-7} (41)^2 \\ &= 0.9974 \; \mathrm{Btu/lb} \cdot ^\circ \mathrm{F} \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} c_p &= 4.7442 \times 10^{-1} + 1.6661 \times 10^{-4} (41) 9.6784 \times 10^{-8} (41)^2 \\ &= 0.4811 \ \mathrm{Btu/lb} \cdot \mathrm{^\circ F} \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} c_f &= 4.6730 \times 10^{-1} + 2.1815 \times 10^{-4} (41) 3.5391 \times 10^{-7} (41)^2 \\ &= 0.4756 \ \mathrm{Btu/lb} \cdot ^\circ \mathrm{F} \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} c_a & = 2.5266 \times 10^{-1} + 2.6810 \times 10^{-4} (41) 2.7141 \times 10^{-7} (41)^2 \\ & = 0.2632 \ \mathrm{Btu/lb} \cdot ^\circ \mathrm{F} \end{array}$

The specific heat of lamb can be calculated with Equation (7):

- $c = \sum c_i x_i = (0.9974)(0.7342) + (0.4811)(0.2029)$ + (0.4756)(0.0525) + (0.2632)(0.0106)
- $c = 0.858 \text{ Btu/lb} \cdot ^{\circ}\text{F}$

The heat to be removed from the lamb is as follows:

 $Q = mc\Delta T = 300 \times 0.858 (50 - 32) = 4630$ Btu

ENTHALPY

The change in enthalpy of a food item can be used to estimate the energy that must be added or removed to effect a temperature change. Above the freezing point, enthalpy consists of sensible energy, while below the freezing point, enthalpy consists of both sensible and latent energy. Enthalpy may be obtained from the definition of constant pressure specific heat:

$$c_p = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial T}\right)_p \tag{13}$$

where c_p is constant pressure specific heat, *H* is enthalpy, and *T* is temperature. Mathematical models for enthalpy may be obtained by integrating expressions of specific heat with respect to temperature.

Unfrozen Food

For food items that are at temperatures above their initial freezing point, enthalpy may be obtained by integrating the corresponding expression for specific heat above the freezing point. Thus, the enthalpy of an unfrozen food item H may be determined by integrating Equation (7) as follows:

$$H = \sum H_i x_i = \sum \int c_i x_i dT \tag{14}$$

where H_i is the enthalpy of the individual food components and x_i is the mass fraction of the food components.

In the case of the method of Chen (1985), the enthalpy of an unfrozen food may be obtained by integrating Equation (8):

$$H = H_f + (t - t_f)(1.0 - 0.55x_s - 0.15x_s^3)$$
(15)

where

- H = enthalpy of food item, Btu/lb
- H_f = enthalpy of food at initial freezing temperature, Btu/lb
- t = temperature of food item, °F
- t_f = initial freezing temperature of food item, °F
- $x_s =$ mass fraction of food solids

The enthalpy at the initial freezing point H_f may be estimated by evaluating either Equation (17) or (18) at the initial freezing temperature of the food as discussed in the following section.

Frozen Foods

For food items below the initial freezing point, mathematical expressions for enthalpy may be obtained by integrating the previously mentioned apparent specific heat models. Integration of Equation (9) between a reference temperature T_r and the food temperature T leads to the following expression for the enthalpy of a food item (Schwartzberg 1976):

$$H = (T - T_r) \times \left\{ c_u + (x_b - x_{wo})\Delta c + Ex_s \left[\frac{RT_o^2}{18(T_o - T_r)(T_o - T)} - 0.8\Delta c \right] \right\} (16)$$

Generally, the reference temperature T_r is taken to be 419.7°R (–40°F) at which point the enthalpy is defined to be zero.

By integrating Equation (11) between a reference temperature T_r and the food temperature T, Chen (1985) obtained the following expression for enthalpy below the initial freezing point:

$$H = (t - t_r) \left(0.37 + 0.30x_s + \frac{x_s R T_o^2}{M_s (t - 32)(t_r - 32)} \right)$$
(17)

where

H = enthalpy of food item

R = universal gas constant

 T_o = freezing point of water = 491.7°R

Substitution of Equation (2) for the relative molecular mass of the soluble solids M_s simplifies Chen's method as follows:

$$H = (t - t_r) \left[0.37 + 0.30x_s - \frac{(x_{wo} - x_b)L_o(t_f - 32)}{(t_r - 32)(t - 32)} \right]$$
(18)

As an alternative to the enthalpy models developed by integration of specific heat equations, Chang and Tao (1981) developed empirical correlations for the enthalpy of food items. Their enthalpy correlations are given as functions of water content, initial and final

temperatures, and food type (meat, juice or fruit/vegetable). The correlations at a reference temperature of $(-50^{\circ}F)$ have the following form:

$$H = H_f(y\bar{T} + (1 - y)\bar{T}^z)$$
(19)

where

- H = enthalpy of food item, Btu/lb
- H_f = enthalpy of food item at initial freezing temperature, Btu/lb
- \overline{T} = reduced temperature, $\overline{T} = (T T_r)/(T_f T_r)$
- T_r = reference temperature (zero enthalpy) = 409.7°R (-50°F)

y, z = correlation parameters

By performing regression analysis on experimental data available in the literature, Chang and Tao (1981) developed the following correlation parameters y and z used in Equation (19):

Meat Group:

$$y = 0.316 - 0.247(x_{wo} - 0.73) - 0.688(x_{wo} - 0.73)^{2}$$

$$z = 22.95 - 54.68(y - 0.28) - 5589.03(y - 0.28)^{2}$$
(20)

Fruit, Vegetable, and Juice Group:

$$y = 0.362 + 0.0498(x_{wo} - 0.73) - 3.465(x_{wo} - 0.73)^{2}$$

$$z = 27.2 - 129.04(y - 0.23) - 481.46(y - 0.23)^{2}$$
(21)

They also developed correlations to estimate the initial freezing temperature T_f for use in Equation (19). These correlations give T_f as a function of water content:

Meat Group:

$$T_f = 488.12 + 2.65x_{wo} \tag{22}$$

Fruit/Vegetable Group:

$$T_f = 517.61 - 88.54x_{wo} + 66.73x_{wo}^2$$
(23)

Juice Group:

$$T_f = 216.85 + 589.23x_{wo} - 317.68x_{wo}^2 \tag{24}$$

In addition, the enthalpy of the food item at its initial freezing point is required in Equation (19). Chang and Tao (1981) suggest the following correlation for determining the enthalpy of the food item at its initial freezing point H_f

$$H_f = 4.21 + 0.17416x_{wo} \tag{25}$$

Table 4 presents experimentally determined values for the enthalpy of some frozen foods at a reference temperature of -40° F as well as the percentage of unfrozen water in these foods.

Example 3. A 300 lb beef carcass is to be frozen to a temperature of 0°F. The initial temperature of the beef carcass is 50°F. How much heat must be removed from the beef carcass during this process?

Solution:

From Table 3, the mass fraction of water in the beef carcass is 0.5821, the mass fraction of protein in the beef carcass is 0.1748 and the initial freezing point of the beef carcass is 28.9°F. The mass fraction of solids in the beef carcass is:

$$x_s = 1 - x_{wo} = 1 - 0.5821 = 0.4179$$

The mass fraction of bound water is given by Equation (3):

$$x_h = 0.4 x_p = 0.4 \times 0.1748 = 0.0699$$

٢

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at 0° F is given by Equation (18) for frozen foods:

$$H_0 = [0 - (-40)] \left\{ 0.37 + 0.30 \times 0.4179 - \frac{(0.5821 - 0.0699)143.4(28.9 - 32)}{(-40 - 32)(0 - 32)} \right\} = 23.77 \text{ Btu/lb}$$

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at the initial freezing point is determined by evaluating Equation (18) at the initial freezing point:

$$H_f = [28.9 - (-40)] \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.37 + 0.30 \times 0.4179 \\ \\ - \frac{(0.5821 - 0.0699)143.4(28.9 - 32)}{(-40 - 32)(28.9 - 32)} \end{array} \right\} = 104.42 \text{ Btu/lb}$$

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at 50° F is given by Equation (15) for unfrozen foods:

$$H_{50} = 104.42 + (50 - 28.9)$$

× [1 - 0.55(0.4179) - 0.15(0.4179)³]
= 120.44 Btu/lb

Thus, the amount of heat removed during the freezing process is:

$$Q = m\Delta H = m(H_{50} - H_0)$$

= 300(120.44 - 23.77) = 29,000 Btu

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

Thermal conductivity relates the conduction heat transfer rate to the temperature gradient. The thermal conductivity of a food depends on such factors as composition, structure, and temperature. Early work in the modeling of thermal conductivity of foods and beverages includes Eucken's adaption of Maxwell's equation (Eucken 1940). This model is based on the thermal conductivity of dilute dispersions of small spheres in a continuous phase:

$$k = k_c \frac{1 - [1 - a(k_d/k_c)]b}{1 + (a - 1)b}$$
(26)

where

k = conductivity of mixture $k_c = \text{conductivity of continuous phase}$ $k_d = \text{conductivity of dispersed phase}$ $a = 3k_c/(2k_c + k_d)$ $b = V_d/(V_c + V_d)$ $V_d = \text{volume of dispersed phase}$

 V_c = volume of continuous phase

In an effort to account for the different structural features of foods, Kopelman (1966) developed thermal conductivity models for homogeneous and fibrous food items. The differences in thermal conductivity parallel and perpendicular to the food fibers are accounted for in Kopelman's fibrous food thermal conductivity models.

For an isotropic, two-component system composed of continuous and discontinuous phases, in which the thermal conductivity is independent of the direction of heat flow, Kopelman (1966) developed the following expression for thermal conductivity k:

$$k = k_c \left[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2 (1 - L)} \right]$$
(27)

			Ta	ble 4	Ent	halpy	y of F	rozei	1 F000	IS								
	Water Content,	-	Temperature, °F															
Product	% (mass)		-40	-20	-10	-5	0	5	10	15	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32
Fruits and Vegetables Applesauce	82.8	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	11 5	17 7	21 9	25 11	30 14	36 17	43 20	49 25	56 28	61 33	71 41	84 52	114 76	145 100	147
Asparagus, peeled	92.6	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	8	14	16 4	19 5	22 6	26 7	30 9	34 10	37 12	40 16	44 20	51 28	63 55	101 100	162
Bilberries	85.1	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10	15 5	18 6	22 7	25 9	30 11	37 14	41 17	45 19	50 22	56 27	67 35	87 50	149 100	151
Carrots	87.5	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10	15 5	18 6	22 7	26 9	31 11	37 14	41 17	45 19	50 22	57 27	68 35	88 50	152 100	154
Cucumbers	95.4	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	8	13	16	18	21	24	27	30 6	32 7	35 8	38 9	43 12	52 18	78 36	167 100
Onions	85.5	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10 5	16 7	20 8	24 9	28 12	34 15	40 18	46 21	52 24	57 28	66 35	79 45	105 65	149 100	151
Peaches, without stones	85.1	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10 5	16 7	20 8	24 10	28 12	34 15	42 18	47 22	53 26	59 30	67 37	81 48	108 69	148 100	150
Pears, Barlett	83.8	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10 6	17 8	21 9	25 10	29 12	35 15	42 19	47 23	53 27	59 31	69 38	83 49	111 72	146 100	148
Plums, without stones	80.3	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	12 8	19 11	24 13	28 16	33 18	40 22	50 28	57 34	64 38	73 46	85 55	113 71	139 100	141	143
Raspberries	82.7	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10 4	16 6	19 7	22 8	26 9	31 12	38 15	42 18	46 21	52 24	59 30	71 39	92 56	146 100	148
Spinach	90.2	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	8	14	16	19	22	26 5	29 7	32 9	35 10	38 11	42 14	48 18	59 25	93 50	158 100
Strawberries	89.3	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	9	15	18 5	21 6	25 7	29 8	34 10	39 13	41 15	45 18	51 21	60 28	77 40	127 79	158 100
Sweet cherries, without stones	77.0	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	12 9	20 12	24 14	29 17	35 20	42 25	51 32	59 38	67 43	76 50	89 62	110 80	134 100	136	138
Tall peas	75.8	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10 6	17 8	21 10	25 12	30 15	36 18	43 22	49 27	54 30	61 37	70 44	86 57	114 82	137 100	139
Tomato pulp	92.9	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	10	14	17	20	23 5	27 6	32 8	36 10	39 12	42 14	47 18	54 22	68 31	112 62	163 100
lggs																		
Egg white	86.5	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	9	14	16 	19	22	25	29 	31 10	33 12	36 13	40 14	45 17	55 22	87 48	151 100
Egg yolk	50.0	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0	9	14	16	19	22	25	29 	31	33	35 20	38 23	42 27	47 32	65 66	98 100
Egg yolk	40.0	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 20	9	14	17	20 24	23	26 27	31	33 30	35	38 34	41 38	46 43	53 54	76 89	82 100
Whole egg, w/shell ^a	66.4	Enthalpy, Btu/lb	0	9	13	15	18	20	23	27	29	31	34	37	41	49	73	121
`ish and Meat Cod	80.3	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 10	10 10	15 10	18 11	21 12	24 13	28 14	33 16	36 18	39 20	43 22	48 26	56 32	73 45	123 88	139 100
Haddock	83.6	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 8	9 8	15 9	18 9	21 10	24 11	28 12	33 14	36 15	39 17	43 19	48 23	56 29	73 42	127 86	145 100
Perch	79.1	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 10	9 10	14 11	17 11	20 12	23 13	27 14	32 16	35 17	38 19	42 21	46 24	53 30	68 41	117 83	137 100
Beef, lean, fresh ^b	74.5	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 10	9 10	15 11	18 12	21 12	24 13	27 15	32 18	35 20	38 22	42 24	48 28	57 37	74 48	119 92	131 100
Beef, lean, dried	26.1	Enthalpy, Btu/lb % water unfrozen	0 96	9 96	14 96	17 97	20 98	24 99	28 100	31	_	33	_	36	_	38	_	40
Bread	37.3	Enthalpy Btu/lb	0	0	12	15	18	21	26	34	40	45	51	55	56	57	58	50

Table 4 Enthalpy of Frozen Foods

42.4

White

Whole wheat

Source: Adapted from Dickerson (1968) and Riedel (1951, 1956, 1957, 1959). ^bCalculated for a mass composition of 58% white (86.5% water) and 32% yolk (50% water).

Enthalpy, Btu/lb

Enthalpy, Btu/lb

^cData for chicken, veal, and venison nearly matched the data for beef of the same water content (Riedel 1957).

 where k_c is the thermal conductivity of the continuous phase and L^3 is the volume fraction of the discontinuous phase. In Equation (27), the thermal conductivity of the continuous phase is assumed to be much larger than the thermal conductivity of the discontinuous phase. However, if the thermal conductivity of the discontinuous phase is much larger than the thermal conductivity of the continuous phase, the following expression is used to calculate the thermal conductivity of the isotropic mixture:

$$k = k_c \left[\frac{1 - M}{1 - M(1 - L)} \right]$$
(28)

where $M = L^2(1 - k_d/k_c)$ and k_d is the thermal conductivity of the discontinuous phase.

For an anisotropic, two-component system in which the thermal conductivity depends on the direction of heat flow, such as in fibrous food materials, Kopelman (1966) developed two expressions for thermal conductivity. For heat flow parallel to the food fibers, Kopelman proposed the following expression for thermal conductivity $k_{=}$:

$$k_{=} = k_{c} \left[1 - N^{2} \left(1 - \frac{k_{d}}{k_{c}} \right) \right]$$
⁽²⁹⁾

where N^2 is the volume fraction of the discontinuous phase in the fibrous food product. If the heat flow is perpendicular to the food fibers, then the following expression for thermal conductivity k_{\perp} applies:

$$k_{\perp} = k_c \bigg[\frac{1 - P}{1 - P(1 - N)} \bigg]$$
(30)

where $P = N(1 - k_d / k_c)$.

Levy (1981) introduced a modified version of the Maxwell-Eucken equation. Levy's expression for the thermal conductivity of a two-component system is as follows:

$$k = \frac{k_2[(2+\Lambda) + 2(\Lambda - 1)F_1]}{(2+\Lambda) - (\Lambda - 1)F_1}$$
(31)

where Λ is the thermal conductivity ratio ($\Lambda = k_1/k_2$), k_1 is the thermal conductivity of component 1, and k_2 is the thermal conductivity of component 2. The parameter F_1 , introduced by Levy is given as follows:

$$F_{1} = 0.5 \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{\sigma} - 1 + 2R_{1} \right) - \left[\left(\frac{2}{\sigma} - 1 + 2R_{1} \right)^{2} - \frac{8R_{1}}{\sigma} \right]^{0.5} \right\}$$
(32)

where

$$\sigma = \frac{\left(\Lambda - 1\right)^2}{\left(\Lambda + 1\right)^2 + \left(\Lambda/2\right)}$$
(33)

and R_1 is the volume fraction of component 1, or:

$$R_{1} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{x_{1}} - 1\right)\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)\right]^{-1}$$
(34)

Here, x_1 is the mass fraction of component 1, ρ_1 is the density of component 1, and ρ_2 is the density of component 2.

To use Levy's method, follow these steps:

1. Calculate the thermal conductivity ratio Λ

- 2. Determine the volume fraction of constituent 1 using Equation (34)
- 3. Evaluate σ using Equation (33)
- 4. Determine F_1 using Equation (32)
- 5. Evaluate the thermal conductivity of the two-component system via Equation (31)

When foods consist of more than two distinct phases, the previously mentioned methods for the prediction of thermal conductivity must be applied successively to obtain the thermal conductivity of the food product. For example, in the case of frozen food, the thermal conductivity of the ice and liquid water mix is calculated first by using one of the earlier methods mentioned. The resulting thermal conductivity of the ice/water mix is then combined successively with the thermal conductivity of each remaining food constituent to determine the thermal conductivity of the food product.

Numerous researchers have proposed the use of parallel and perpendicular (or series) thermal conductivity models based on analogies with electrical resistance (Murakami and Okos 1989). The parallel model is the sum of the thermal conductivities of the food constituents multiplied by their volume fractions:

$$k = \sum x_i^{\nu} k_i \tag{35}$$

where x_i^{v} is the volume fraction of constituent *i*. The volume fraction of constituent *i* can be found from the following equation:

$$x_i^{\nu} = \frac{x_i/\rho_i}{\sum (x_i/\rho_i)}$$
(36)

The perpendicular model is the reciprocal of the sum of the volume fractions divided by their thermal conductivities:

$$k = \frac{1}{\sum (x_i^v / k_i)} \tag{37}$$

These two models have been found to predict the upper and lower bounds of the thermal conductivity of most food items.

Tables 5 and 6 list the thermal conductivities for many food items (Qashou et al. 1972). Data in these tables have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off from the original research data. Tables 5 and 6 also include ASHRAE research data on foods of low and intermediate moisture content (Sweat 1985).

Example 4. Determine the thermal conductivity and density of lean pork shoulder meat which is at a temperature of -40° F. Use both the parallel and perpendicular thermal conductivity models.

Solution:

From Table 3, the composition of lean pork shoulder meat is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_{wo} = 0.7263 & x_f = 0.0714 \\ x_p = 0.1955 & x_a = 0.0102 \end{array}$$

In addition, the initial freezing point of lean pork shoulder meat is 28°F. Because the temperature of the pork is below the initial freezing point, the fraction of ice within the pork must be determined. Using Equation (4), the ice fraction becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ice} &= (x_{wo} - x_b) \left[1 - \frac{t_f - 32}{t - 32} \right] = (x_{wo} - 0.4x_p) \left[1 - \frac{t_f - 32}{t - 32} \right] \\ &= \left[0.7263 - (0.4)(0.1955) \right] \left[1 - \frac{28 - 32}{-40 - 32} \right] = 0.6121 \end{aligned}$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is then:

$$x_w = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.7263 - 0.6121 = 0.1142$$

	Thermal	Tempera-	Water		
Food Item ^a	Conductivity Btu/h•ft•°F	ture, °F	Content, % by mass	Reference ^b	Remarks
Fruits, Vegetables					
Apples	0.242	46.4		Gane (1936)	Tasmanian French crabapple, whole fruit; 0.3 lb
Apples, dried	0.127	73.4	41.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 54 lb/ft^3
Apple juice	0.323	68	87	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.35$
	0.365	176	87 70		Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.38$
	0.291 0.326	68 176	70		Refractive index at 66 $F = 1.56$
	0.225	68	36		Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.45$
	0.225	176	36		Remactive index at $00.1 = 1.45$
Apple sauce	0.317	84.2		Sweat (1974)	
Apricots, dried	0.217	73.4	43.6	Sweat (1985)	$Density = 82 lb/ft^3$
Beans, runner	0.230	48.2	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 47 lb/ft^3 ; machine sliced, scalded,
Beets	0.347	82.4	87.6	Sweat (1974)	packed in slab
Broccoli	0.222	21.2	_	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 35 lb/ft^3 ; heads cut and scalded
Carrots	0.387	3.2	_	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 37 lb/ft^3 ; scraped, sliced and scalded
Carrots, puree	0.728	17.6	_	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 56 lb/ft ³ ; slab
Currants, black	0.179	1.4		Smith et al. (1952)	$Density = 40 lb/ft^3$
Dates	0.195	73.4	34.5	Sweat (1985)	Density = 82 lb/ft^3
Figs	0.179	73.4	40.4	Sweat (1985)	Density = 77 lb/ft^3
Gooseberries	0.159	5	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 36 lb/ft^3 ; mixed sizes
Grapefruit juice vesicle	0.267	86	—	Bennett et al. (1964)	Marsh, seedless
Grapefruit rind	0.137	82 68	80	Bennett et al. (1964) Biadal (1949)	Marsh, seedless Refractive index at $68^{\circ}\text{E} = 1.35$
Grape, green, juice	0.328 0.369	68 176	89 89	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.35$
	0.287	68	68		Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.38$
	0.320 0.229	176 68	68 37		Refractive index at $20^{\circ}C = 1.45$
	0.254	176	37		
~	0.254	77	<u> </u>	Turrell and Perry (1957)	Eureka
Grape jelly	0.226	68	42.0	Sweat (1985)	Density = 82 lb/ft^3
Nectarines	0.338	47.5	82.9	Sweat (1974)	
Onions	0.332	47.5	_	Saravacos (1965) Bonnott et el. (1964)	Valencia
Orange juice vesicle Orange rind	0.251 0.103	86 86	_	Bennett et al. (1964) Bennett et al. (1964)	Valencia
Peas	0.103	8.6	_	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 44 lb/ft^3 ; shelled and scalded
cas	0.228	26.6	_	Silliti et al. (1952)	Density = 44 fb/ft , shelled and scalded
	0.182	44.6			
Peaches, dried	0.209	73.4	43.4	Sweat (1985)	Density = 79 lb/ft^3
Pears	0.344	47.7		Sweat (1974)	Density 1910/10
Pear juice	0.318	68	85	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.36$
5	0.363	176	85	· · ·	
	0.274	68	60		Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.40$
	0.307	176	60		
	0.232	68	39		Refractive index at $68^{\circ}F = 1.44$
	0.258	176	39		
Plums	0.143	3.2	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 38 lb/ft^3 ; 1.57 in. dia.; 2.0 in. long
Potatoes, mashed	0.630	8.6	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 61 lb/ft ³ ; tightly packed slab
Potato salad	0.277	35.6	42.0	Dickerson and Read (1968)	Density = 63 lb/ft^3
Prunes	0.217	73.4	42.9	Sweat (1985)	Density = 76 lb/ft ³ Density = 86 lb/ft ³
Raisins Strawberries	0.194 0.636	73.4 6.8	32.2	Sweat (1985) Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 86 lb/ft^3 Mixed sizes, density = 50 lb/ft^3 , slab
SuawDellies	0.636	0.8 5	_	Sinui et al. (1932)	Mixed sizes, density = $50.16/10^{-1}$, slab Mixed sizes in 57% sucrose syrup, slab
Strawberry, jam	0.195	68	41.0	Sweat (1985)	Density = 82 lb/ft^3
Squash	0.195	46.4		Gane (1936)	D = 0.2 + 0.1 t
Meat and Animal Bypro					
Beef brain	0.287	95	77.7	Poppendick et al. (1966)	12% fat; 10.3% protein; density = 63 lb/ft^3
Beef fat	0.110	95	0.0	Poppendick et al. (1966)	Melted 100% fat; density = 51 lb/ft ³
D 66	0.133	95	20		Density = 54 lb/ft^3
Beef fat ⊥ ^a	0.125	35.6	9	Lentz (1961)	89% fat
D (1'1	0.166	15.8	9	D PL - L (1050	0.20/ 6 / 15.20/
Beef kidney	0.303	95	76.4	Poppendick et al. (1966)	8.3% fat, 15.3% protein; density = 64 lb/ft^3
Beef liver	0.282	95 27.4	72 75	Poppendick et al. (1966)	7.2% fat, 20.6% protein
Beef, lean = ^a	0.292 0.820	37.4 5	75 75	Lentz (1961)	Sirloin; 0.9% fat
Beef, lean = ^a	0.820	68	79	Hill et al. (1967)	1.4% fat
Beef, lean $=^a$	0.826 0.231	5 42.8	79 76.5	Hill et al. (1967), Hill (1966)	2.4% fat
,	0.786	5	76.5		
Beef, lean \perp ^a	0.277 0.780	68 5	79 79	Hill et al. (1967)	Inside round; 0.8% fat
Beef, lean \perp^{a}	0.237	42.8	76	Hill et al. (1967), Hill (1966)	3% fat
Beef, lean ⊥ ^a	0.659 0.272	5 37.4	76 74	Lentz (1961)	Flank; 3 to 4% fat
Reef ground	0.647 0.235	5 42.8	74 67	Qashou et al. (1970)	12.3% fat; density = 59 lb/ft ³
Beef, ground	0.235 0.237 0.203	42.8 39.2 42.8	62 55	Qasilou et al. (1970)	12.3% fat; density = 59 $10/1t^{2}$ 16.8% fat; density = 61 $1b/ft^{3}$ 18% fat; density = 58 $1b/ft^{3}$

 Table 5
 Thermal Conductivity of Foods

Food Item ^a	Thermal Conductivity Btu/h∙ft∙°F	Tempera- ture, °F	Water Content, % by mass	Reference ^b	Remarks
	0.210	37.4	53		22% fat; density = 59 lb/ft ³
Beefstick	0.172	68	36.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 66 lb/ft^3
Bologna	0.243	68	64.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 62 lb/ft^3
Dog food	0.184	73.4	30.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 77 lb/ft^3
Cat food	0.188	73.4	39.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 77 lb/ft^3
	0.138	68	71.8		
Ham, country				Sweat (1985)	Density = 64 lb/ft^3
Horse meat⊥ ^a	0.266	86	70	Griffiths and Cole (1948)	Lean
Lamb⊥ ^a	0.263	68	72	Hill et al. (1967)	8.7% fat
	0.647	5	72		
Lamb $=^{a}$	0.231	68	71	Hill et al. (1967)	9.6% fat
	0.734	5	71		
Pepperoni	0.148	68	32.0	Sweat (1985)	$Density = 66 \text{ lb/ft}^3$
Pork fat	0.124	37.4	6	Lentz (1961)	93% fat
i oni iui	0.126	5	6		5070 Ide
Pork, lean flank	0.266	36.0		Lentz (1961)	3.4% fat
FOIR, leali Halik			_	Lentz (1901)	3.4% lat
	0.705	5		T (10(1)	
Pork, lean leg $=^{a}$	0.276	39.2	72	Lentz (1961)	6.1% fat
	0.861	5	72		
Pork, lean $=^{a}$	0.262	68	76	Hill et al. (1967)	6.7% fat
	0.820	8.6	76		
Pork, lean leg \perp^{a}	0.263	39.2	72	Lentz (1961)	6.1% fat
	0.745	5	72		
Pork, lean \perp^{a}	0.292	68	76	Hill et al. (1967)	6.7% fat
i ork, icall ±	0.292	6.8	76 76	1111 ct al. (1707)	0.7/0 fat
Salami				Sweet (1085)	$D_{ancitry} = 60 \text{ lb}/\text{ft}^3$
Salami	0.180	68	35.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 60 lb/ft^3
Sausage	0.247	77	68	Woodams (1965), Nowrey and	Mixture of beef and pork; 16.1% fat, 12.2% protein
	0.222	77	62	Woodams (1968)	Mixture of beef and pork; 24.1% fat, 10.3% protein
Veal \perp^{a}	0.272	68	75	Hill et al. (1967)	2.1% fat
	0.797	5	75		
Veal = ^a	0.257	82.4	75	Hill et al. (1967)	2.1% fat
vear =	0.844	5	75	Thil et al. (1967)	2.170 Iat
	0.044	5	15		
Poultry and Eggs					
Chicken breast⊥ ^a	0.238	68	69-75	Walters and May (1963)	0.6% fat
Chicken breast with skin	0.211	68	58-74	Walters and May (1963)	0-30% fat
Turkey breast \perp^{a}	0.287	37.4	74	Lentz (1961)	2.1% fat
	0.797	5	74		
Turkey leg \perp^{a}	0.287	39.2	74	Lentz (1961)	3.4% fat
runkey leg ±	0.711	5	74	Lentz (1901)	5.470 Idt
Tradese har et a				$L_{rate} (1061)$	$2.10(f_{-t})$
Turkey breast = $\perp a$	0.290	37.4	74	Lentz (1961)	2.1% fat
	0.884	5	74		
Egg white	0.322	96.8	88	Spells (1960–61), Spells (1958)	
Egg, whole	0.555	17.6	_	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 61 lb/ft^3
Egg yolk	0.243	87.8	50.6	Poppendick et al. (1966)	32.7% fat; 16.7% protein, density = 64 lb/ft^3
Fish and Sea Products					
Fish, $cod \perp^a$	0.309	37.4	83	Lentz (1961)	0.1% fat
				Lentz (1901)	0.1 /0 Tat
E'1 1	0.844	5	83	I (1055) I II (105	5)
Fish, cod	0.324	33.8	—	Long (1955), Jason and Long (195	5)
	0.976	5	_	Long (1955)	2
Fish, herring	0.462	-2.2	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 57 lb/ft^3 ; whole and gutted
Fish, salmon \perp^{a}	0.307	27.4			Density = 57 10/11, whole and guited
		37.4	67	Lentz (1961)	12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula
	0.716	37.4 5	67 67	Lentz (1961)	12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula
Fish, salmon \perp^{a}		5	67		12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula
Fish, salmon \perp ^a	0.288	5 41	67 73	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961)	12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from
	0.288 0.653	5 41 5	67 73 73	Lentz (1961)	12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia
Seal blubber \perp^{a}	0.288 0.653 0.114	5 41 5 41	67 73 73 4.3	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a}	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121	5 41 5 41 64.4	67 73 73 4.3	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a}	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6	67 73 73 4.3	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a}	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832	5 41 5 41 64.4	67 73 73 4.3 —	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a}	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6	67 73 73 4.3	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³
Seal blubber $\perp a$ Whale blubber $\perp a$ Whale meat	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8	67 73 73 4.3 —	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4	67 73 73 4.3 —	Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber $\perp a$ Whale blubber $\perp a$ Whale meat	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8	67 73 73 4.3 — — — 0.6	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a} Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8 5	67 73 73 4.3 0.6 0.6	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a} Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8 5 39.2	67 73 4.3 — — — — 0.6 0.6 —	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a} Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8 5	67 73 73 4.3 0.6 0.6	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; Salmo salar from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; Oncorhynchus tchawytscha from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8 5 39.2 68	$ \begin{array}{c} 67\\ 73\\ -3\\ -4.3\\\\\\\\ 0.6\\\\ 89\\ \end{array} $	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a} Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 67\\ 73\\ -3\\ -4.3\\\\\\\\\\\\ 0.6\\\\ 89\\ 90\\ \end{array} $	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 82.4 \\ 8$	$ \begin{array}{c} 67\\ 73\\ -3\\ -4.3\\\\\\\\ 0.6\\ 0.6\\\\ 89\\ 90\\ 83\\ \end{array} $	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302 0.318	54154164.489.615.810.442.8539.26882.435.668	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302 0.318 0.339	5 41 5 41 64.4 89.6 15.8 10.4 42.8 5 39.2 68 82.4 35.6 68 122	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302 0.318 0.339 0.355	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 100 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 82.6 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 122 \\ 100$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\73\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302 0.318 0.339 0.355	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 100 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole	0.288 0.653 0.114 0.121 0.375 0.832 0.740 0.100 0.103 0.114 0.329 0.335 0.302 0.318 0.339 0.355 0.311 0.327	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 126 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 100 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat
Seal blubber \perp^{a} Whale blubber \perp^{a} Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole Milk, skimmed	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \\ 0.100\\ 0.103\\ 0.114\\ 0.329\\ 0.335\\ 0.302\\ 0.318\\ 0.339\\ 0.355\\ 0.311\\ 0.327\\ 0.350\\ 0.367\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat 0.1% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 100 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\73\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ Density = 67 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole Milk, skimmed	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 100 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat 95% fat 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat 0.1% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole Milk, skimmed	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-3\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\-\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat 0.1% fat
Seal blubber ⊥ ^a Whale blubber ⊥ ^a Whale meat Dairy Products Butterfat Butter Butter Buttermilk Milk, whole Milk, skimmed	$\begin{array}{c} 0.288\\ 0.653\\ 0.114\\ 0.121\\ 0.375\\ 0.832\\ 0.740\\ \hline \end{array}$	$5 \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 41 \\ 64.4 \\ 89.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 42.8 \\ 5 \\ 39.2 \\ 68 \\ 82.4 \\ 35.6 \\ 68 \\ 122 \\ 176 \\ 35.6 \\ 100 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\73\\-\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\.\\$	Lentz (1961) Lentz (1961) Griffiths and Cole (1948) Griffiths and Hickman (1951) Smith et al. (1952) Lentz (1961) Hooper and Chang (1952) Riedel (1949) Leidenfrost (1959) Riedel (1949) Riedel (1949)	 12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula 5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tchawytscha</i> from British Columbia 95% fat Density = 65 lb/ft³ 0.51% fat; density = 62 lb/ft³ 0.35% fat 3.6% fat 0.1% fat

 Table 5
 Thermal Conductivity of Foods (Continued)

Thermal Tempera- Water										
	Conductivity	ture,	Content,							
Food Item ^a	Btu/h·ft·°F	°F		Reference ^b	Remarks					
	0.273	68	62							
	0.295	122	62							
	0.307	176	62							
	0.273	73.4	67	Leidenfrost (1959)	10% fat					
	0.291	105.8	67							
	0.298	140	67							
	0.304	174.2	67 50	Laidenfront (1050)	150/ fot					
	0.187 0.196	78.8 104	50 50	Leidenfrost (1959)	15% fat					
	0.206	138.2	50							
	0.200	174.2	50							
Whey	0.312	35.6	90	Riedel (1949)	No fat					
	0.328	68	90							
	0.364	122	90							
	0.370	176	90							
ugar, Starch, Bakery		rivatives								
Sugar beet juice	0.318	77	79	Khelemskii and Zhadan (1964)						
	0.329	77	82							
Sucrose solution	0.309	32	90	Riedel (1949)	Cane or beet sugar solution					
	0.327	68	90							
	0.351 0.367	122	90 90							
	0.367	176 32	90 80							
	0.291	52 68	80 80							
	0.330	122	80							
	0.330	176	80							
	0.273	32	70							
	0.289	68	70							
	0.310	122	70							
	0.325	176	70							
	0.256	32	60							
	0.272	68	60							
	0.290	122	60							
	0.303 0.239	176 32	60 50							
	0.259	52 68	50							
	0.232	122	93-80							
	0.283	176	93-80							
	0.221	32	40							
	0.233	68	40							
	0.251	122	40							
	0.262	176	40							
Glucose solution	0.311	35.6	89	Riedel (1949)						
	0.327	68	89							
	0.347	122	89							
	0.369	176	89							
	0.294 0.309	35.6	80 80							
	0.330	68 122	80 80							
	0.346	176	80							
	0.340	35.6	70							
	0.291	68	70							
	0.311	122	70							
	0.326	176	70							
	0.258	35.6	60							
	0.272	68	60							
	0.289	122	60							
7	0.306	176	60		D : 70 II / 63					
Corn syrup	0.325	77	—	Metzner and Friend (1959)	Density = 72 lb/ft^3 Density = 82 lb/ft^3					
	0.280	77 77			Density = 82 lb/ft^3 Density = 84 lb/ft^3					
Joney	0.270 0.290	77 35.6	80	Reidy (1968)	Density = $\delta 4 \text{ id/It}^2$					
Honey	0.290	35.0 156.2	80 80	Kenuy (1900)						
Molasses syrup	0.240	86	23	Popov and Terentiev (1966)						
Angel food cake	0.200	73.4	36.1	Sweat (1985)	Density = 9.4 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 88%					
Applesauce cake	0.046	73.4	23.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 19 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 78%					
Carrot cake	0.049	73.4	21.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 20 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 75%					
Chocolate cake	0.061	73.4	31.9	Sweat (1985)	Density = 21 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 74%					
Pound cake	0.076	73.4	22.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 30 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 58%					
Yellow cake	0.064	73.4	25.1	Sweat (1985)	Density = 19 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 78%					
White cake	0.047	73.4	32.3	Sweat (1985)	Density = 28 lb/ft^3 , porosity: 62%					
Grains, Cereals, and Se										
Corn, yellow	0.081	89.6	0.9	Kazarian (1962)	Density = 47 lb/ft^3					
	0.092	89.6	14.7		Density = 47 lb/ft^3					
71 1	0.099	89.6	30.2		Density = 42 lb/ft^3					
Flax seed	0.066	89.6	12 7	Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	Density = 41 lb/ft^3					
Oats, white English Sorghum	0.075	80.6	12.7	Oxley (1944) Miller (1963)	Hubrid Ba610 arein					
	0.076	41	13	Miller (1963)	Hybrid Rs610 grain					

 Table 5
 Thermal Conductivity of Foods (Continued)

Food Item ^a	Thermal Conductivity Btu/h•ft•°F	Tempera- ture, °F	Content,	Reference ^b	Remarks
	0.087		22		
Wheat, No. 1, Northern	0.078	93.2	2	Moote (1953)	Values taken from plot of series of values given by
hard spring	0.086	_	7	Babbitt (1945)	authors
1 0	0.090	_	10		
	0.097	_	14		
	0.000	32			
Wheat, soft white winter	0.070	87.8	5	Kazarian (1962)	Values taken from plot of series of values given by
	0.075	87.8	10		author; Density = 49 lb/ft^3
	0.079	87.8	15		·
Fats, Oils, Gums, and Ex	tracts				
Gelatin gel	0.302	41	94-80	Lentz (1961)	Conductivity did not vary with concentration in range tested (6, 12, 20%)
	1.236	5	94		6% gelatin concentration
	1.121	5	88		12% gelatin concentration
	0.815	5	80		20% gelatin concentration
Margarine	0.135	41	_	Hooper and Chang (1952)	Density = 62 lb/ft^3
Almond oil	0.102	39.2	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	$Density = 57 \ lb/ft^3$
Cod liver oil	0.098	95	_	Spells (1960-61), Spells (1958)	2
Lemon oil	0.090	42.8	_	Weber (1880)	Density = 51 lb/ft^3
Mustard oil	0.098	77	—	Weber (1886)	Density = 64 lb/ft^3
Nutmeg oil	0.090	39.2	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 59 lb/ft^3
Olive oil	0.101	44.6		Weber (1880)	Density = 57 lb/ft^3
Olive oil	0.097	89.6	_	Kaye and Higgins (1928)	$Density = 57 \text{ lb/ft}^3$
	0.096	149			
	0.092	304	_		
Peanut oil	$0.090 \\ 0.097$	365 39.2	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 57 lb/ft^3
Peanut oil	0.097	39.2 77		Woodams (1965)	Density $= 37 \text{ ID/H}^2$
Rapeseed oil	0.098	68	_	Kondrat'ev (1950)	Density = 57 lb/ft^3
Sesame oil	0.102	39.2		Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 57 lb/ft^3 Density = 57 lb/ft^3

^aThe symbol \perp indicates heat flow perpendicular to the grain structure and the symbol = indicates heat flow parallel to the grain or structure. ^bReferences quoted are those on which given data are based, although actual values in this table may have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off.

Table 6 Thermal Conductivity of Freeze-Dried Foods

Food Item	Thermal Conductivity, Btu/h•ft•°F	Tempera- ture, °F	Pressure, psia	Reference ^b	Remarks
Apple	0.0090	95	0.000386	Harper (1960, 1962)	Delicious; 88% porosity; 5.1 tortuosity factor;
11	0.0107	95	0.00305	1	measured in air
	0.0163	95	0.0271		
	0.0234	95	0.418		
Peach	0.0095	95	0.000870	Harper (1960, 1962)	Clingstone; 91% porosity; 4.1 tortuosity factor;
	0.0107	95	0.00312	1	measured in air
	0.0161	95	0.0271		
	0.0237	95	0.387		
	0.0249	95	7.40		
Pears	0.0107	95	0.000309	Harper (1960, 1962)	97% porosity; measured in nitrogen
	0.0120	95	0.00283	······································	1 J,
	0.0177	95	0.0271		
	0.0242	95	0.312		
	0.0261	95	10.0		
Beef = ^a	0.0221	95	0.000212	Harper (1960, 1962)	Lean; 64% porosity; 4.4 tortuosity factor;
	0.0238	95	0.00329	1	measured in air
	0.0307	95	0.0345		
	0.0358	95	0.392		
	0.0377	95	14.7		
Egg albumin gel	0.0227	106	14.7	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)	2% water content; measured in air
88	0.0075	106	0.00064	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)	Measured in air
Turkey = ^a	0.0166	_	0.000773	Triebes and King (1966)	Cooked white meat; 68 to 72% porosity; measured in air
•	0.0256		0.00218	0.	
	0.0408	_	0.0677		
	0.0497	_	0.309		
	0.0536	_	14.3		
Turkey ⊥ ^a	0.0098	_	0.000812	Triebes and King (1966)	Cooked white meat; 68 to 72% porosity; measured in air
•	0.0101	_	0.00274	• · · · ·	
	0.0128	_	0.0193		
	0.0241	_	0.181		
	0.0339	—	12.7		
Potato starch gel	0.0053	_	0.000624	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)	Measured in air
U	0.0083	_	0.0262	× ,	
	0.0168	_	0.320		
	0.0227		14.9		

^aThe symbol \perp indicates heat flow perpendicular to the grain structure and the symbol = indicates heat flow parallel to the grain or structure. ^bReferences quoted are those on which given data are based, although actual values in this table may have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off.

Using the equations presented in Tables 1 and 2, the density and thermal conductivity of the food constituents are calculated at the given temperature -40° F:

- $$\begin{split} \rho_w &= 6.2174 \times 10^1 + 4.7425 \times 10^{-3} (-40) 7.2397 \times 10^{-5} (-40)^2 \\ &= 61.868 \ lb/ft^3 \end{split}$$
- $$\begin{split} \rho_{\it ice} &= 5.7385 \times 10^1 4.5333 \times 10^{-3} (-40) \\ &= 57.566 \; lb/ft^3 \end{split}$$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_p & = 8.3599 \times 10^1 1.7979 \times 10^{-2} (-40) \\ & = 84.318 \ lb/ft^3 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_f & = 5.8246 \times 10^{1} {-}\; 1.4482 \times 10^{-2} ({-}40) \\ & = 58.825 \ lb/ft^3 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_a &= 1.5162 \times 10^2 9.7329 \times 10^{-3} (-40) \\ &= 152.01 \ \text{lb/ft}^3 \end{array}$

.

- $\begin{aligned} k_w &= 3.1064 \times 10^{-1} + 6.4226 \times 10^{-4} (-40) 1.1955 \times 10^{-6} (-40)^2 \\ &= 0.2830 \; \mathrm{Btu}/(\mathrm{h\cdot ft} \cdot \mathrm{^\circ F}) \end{aligned}$
- $\begin{aligned} k_{ice} &= 1.3652 3.1648 \times 10^{-3} (-40) + 1.8108 \times 10^{-5} (-40)^2 \\ &= 1.521 \ \mathrm{Btu} / (\mathrm{h} \cdot \mathrm{ft} \cdot ^\circ \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$
- $\begin{array}{l} k_p & = 9.0535 \times 10^{-2} + 4.1486 \times 10^{-4} (-40) 4.8467 \times 10^{-7} (-40)^2 \\ & = 0.07317 \ \mathrm{Btu}/(\mathrm{h}\cdot\mathrm{ft}^{\,\circ}\mathrm{F}) \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} k_f & = 1.3273 \times 10^{-1} 8.8405 \times 10^{-4} (-40) 3.1652 \times 10^{-8} (-40)^2 \\ & = 0.1680 \ \mathrm{Btu}/(\mathrm{h}\cdot\mathrm{ft}\cdot\mathrm{^\circ F}) \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} k_a &= 1.7553 \times 10^{-1} + 4.8292 \times 10^{-4} (-40) 5.1839 \times 10^{-7} (-40)^2 \\ &= 0.1554 \ \mathrm{Btu}/(\mathrm{h\cdot ft\cdot ^\circ F}) \end{array}$

Using Equation (6), the density of the lean pork shoulder meat at -40° F can be determined:

$$\sum \frac{x_i}{\rho_i} = \frac{0.6121}{57.566} + \frac{0.1142}{61.868} + \frac{0.1955}{84.318} + \frac{0.0714}{58.825} + \frac{0.0102}{152.01}$$
$$= 1.6078 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$\rho = \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{1 - 0}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 62.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3$$

Using Equation (36), the volume fractions of the constituents can be found:

$$x_{ice}^{\nu} = \frac{x_{ice}/\rho_{ice}}{\Sigma x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.6121/57.566}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 0.6613$$
$$x_w^{\nu} = \frac{x_w/\rho_w}{\Sigma x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.1142/61.868}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 0.1148$$
$$x_p^{\nu} = \frac{x_p/\rho_p}{\Sigma x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.1955/84.318}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 0.1442$$
$$x_f^{\nu} = \frac{x_f/\rho_f}{\Sigma x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.0714/58.825}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 0.0755$$
$$x_f^{a} = \frac{x_a/\rho_a}{\Sigma x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.0102/152.01}{1.6078 \times 10^{-2}} = 0.0042$$

Using the parallel model, Equation (35), the thermal conductivity becomes:

$$k = \sum x_i^{\nu} k_i = (0.6613)(1.521) + (0.1148)(0.2830) + (0.1442)(0.0731) + (0.0755)(0.1680) + (0.0042)(0.1554) k = 1.06 \text{ Btu/(h-ft.°F)}$$

1998 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook

Using the perpendicular model, Equation (37), the thermal conductivity becomes:

$$k = \frac{1}{\sum x_i^{\nu} / k_i} = \left[\frac{0.6613}{1.521} + \frac{0.1148}{0.2830} + \frac{0.1442}{0.07317} + \frac{0.0755}{0.1680} + \frac{0.0042}{0.1554} \right]^{-1}$$

k = 0.304 Btu/(h·ft·°F)

Example 5. Determine the thermal conductivity and density of lean pork shoulder meat which is at a temperature of -40° F. Use the isotropic model developed by Kopelman (1966).

Solution:

From Table 3, the composition of lean pork shoulder meat is:

 $\begin{array}{ll} x_{wo} = 0.7263 x_f & = 0.0714 \\ x_p & = 0.1955 x_a & = 0.0102 \end{array}$

In addition, the initial freezing point of lean pork shoulder is 28° F. Because the temperature of the pork is below the initial freezing point, the fraction of ice within the pork must be determined. From Example 4, the ice fraction was found to be:

$$x_{ica} = 0.6121$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is then:

$$x_w = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.7263 - 0.6121 = 0.1142$$

Using the equations presented in Tables 1 and 2, the density and thermal conductivity of the food constituents are calculated at the given temperature, -40° F (refer to Example 4):

$\rho_w = 61.868 \text{ lb/ft}^3$	$k_w = 0.2830 \text{ Btu/(h·ft·°F})$
$\rho_{ice} = 57.566 \text{ lb/ft}^3$	$k_{ice} = 1.521 \text{ Btu/(h·ft·°F)}$
$\rho_n = 84.318 \text{ lb/ft}^3$	$k_n = 0.07317 \text{ Btu/(h \cdot ft \cdot ^\circ F)}$
$\rho_f = 58.825 \text{ lb/ft}^3$	$k_f = 0.1680 \text{ Btu/(h·ft·°F)}$
$\rho_a = 152.01 \text{ lb/ft}^3$	$k_a^{\prime} = 0.1554 \text{ Btu/(h·ft·°F)}$

Now, determine the thermal conductivity of the ice/water mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice and the water in the two component ice/water mixture:

$$x_{w}^{v} = \frac{x_{w}^{\prime} \rho_{w}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{0.1142/61.868}{0.1142} = 0.1479$$
$$x_{ice}^{v} = \frac{x_{ice}^{\prime} \rho_{ice}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{0.6121/57.566}{0.1142} = 0.8521$$

Note that the volume fractions calculated for the two component ice/water mixture are different from those calculated in Example 4 for the lean pork shoulder meat. Because the ice has the largest volume fraction in the two component ice/water mixture, consider the ice to be the "continuous" phase. Then, L from Equation (27) becomes:

$$L^{3} = x_{w}^{\nu} = 0.1479$$

 $L^{2} = 0.2797$
 $L = 0.5288$

Because $k_{ice} > k_w$ and the ice is the continuous phase, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water mixture is calculated using Equation (27):

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ice/water} &= k_{ice} \Bigg[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2 (1 - L)} \Bigg] \\ &= 1.521 \Bigg[\frac{1 - 0.2797}{1 - 0.2797 (1 - 0.5288)} \Bigg] = 1.2619 \text{ Btu/(h·ft·°F)} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the ice/water mixture then becomes:

$$\rho_{ice/water} = x_w^{\nu} \rho_w + x_{ice}^{\nu} \rho_{ice}$$

= (0.1479)(61.868) + (0.8521)(57.566)
= 58.202 lb/ft³

Next, find the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water and the protein:

$$x_p^{\nu} = \frac{x_p^{\rho} \rho_p}{\sum_{i}^{\frac{x_i}{\rho_i}}} = \frac{0.1955/84.318}{0.1955} + \frac{0.7263}{58.202} = 0.1567$$

$$x_{ice/water}^{v} = \frac{x_{ice/water}^{\prime} \rho_{ice/water}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{\frac{0.7263}{58.202}}{\frac{0.1955}{84.318} + \frac{0.7263}{58.202}} = 0.8433$$

Note that these volume fractions are calculated based on a two component system composed of ice/water as one constituent and protein as the other. Because protein has the smaller volume fraction, consider it to be the discontinuous phase.

$$L^{3} = x_{p}^{\nu} = 0.1567$$

 $L^{2} = 0.2907$
 $L = 0.5391$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein mixture becomes:

$$k_{ice/water/protein} = k_{ice/water} \left[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2 (1 - L)} \right]$$

= 1.2619 $\left[\frac{1 - 0.2907}{1 - 0.2907 (1 - 0.5391)} \right]$
= 0.942 Btu/h·ft·°F

The density of the ice/water/protein mixture then becomes:

$$\rho_{ice/water/protein} = x_{ice/water}^{\nu} \rho_{ice/water} + x_p^{\nu} \rho_p$$

= (0.8433)(58.202) + (0.1567)(84.318)
= 62.294 lb/ft³

Next, find the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water/protein and the fat:

$$x_{f}^{\nu} = \frac{x_{f}^{\nu} \rho_{f}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{0.0714 / 58.825}{0.0714} = 0.0758$$
$$x_{i/w/p}^{\nu} = \frac{x_{i/w/p}^{\prime} \rho_{i/w/p}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{0.9218 / 62.294}{0.0714} = 0.9242$$
$$L^{3} = x_{f}^{\nu} = 0.0758$$
$$L^{2} = 0.1791$$
$$L = 0.4232$$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture becomes:

$$k_{i/w/p/f} = k_{i/w/p} \left[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2(1 - L)} \right]$$

= 1.0335 $\left[\frac{1 - 0.1791}{1 - 0.1791(1 - 0.4232)} \right]$
= 0.9461 Btu/(h·ft·°F)

The density of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture then becomes:

$$\rho_{i/w/p/f} = x_{i/w/p}^{\nu} \rho_{i/w/p} + x_f^{\nu} \rho_f$$

= (0.9242)(62.294) + (0.0758)(58.825)
= 62.031 lb/ft³

Finally, the thermal conductivity of the lean pork shoulder meat can be found. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water/protein/fat and the ash:

$$x_{a}^{v} = \frac{x_{a}^{2} \rho_{a}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{0.0102/152.01}{0.0102 + 0.9932} = 0.0042$$
$$x_{i/w/p/f}^{v} = \frac{\frac{x_{i/w/p/f}}{\rho_{i}}}{\sum \frac{x_{i}}{\rho_{i}}} = \frac{\frac{0.9932}{62.031}}{\frac{0.0102}{152.01} + \frac{0.9932}{62.031}} = 0.9958$$
$$L^{3} = x_{a}^{v} = 0.0042$$
$$L^{2} = 0.0260$$
$$L = 0.1613$$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the lean pork shoulder meat becomes:

$$k_{pork} = k_{i/w/p/f} \left[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2 (1 - L)} \right]$$

= 0.9461 $\left[\frac{1 - 0.0260}{1 - 0.0260 (1 - 0.1613)} \right]$
= 0.942 Btu/(h·ft·°F)

The density of the lean pork shoulder meat then becomes:

$$\rho_{pork} = x_{i/w/p/f}^{\nu} \rho_{i/w/p/f} + x_a^{\nu} \rho_a$$

= (0.9958)(62.031) + (0.0042)(152.01)
= 62.4 lb/ft³

THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY

For transient heat transfer, the important thermophysical property is thermal diffusivity α , which appears in the Fourier equation:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \alpha \left[\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right]$$
(38)

where *x*, *y*, *z* are rectangular coordinates, *T* is temperature, and θ is time. Thermal diffusivity can be defined as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c} \tag{39}$$

where α is thermal diffusivity, *k* is thermal conductivity, ρ is density, and *c* is specific heat.

Experimentally determined values of the thermal diffusivity of foods are scarce. However, thermal diffusivity can be calculated using Equation (39), with appropriate values of thermal conductivity, specific heat, and density. A few experimental values are given in Table 7.

HEAT OF RESPIRATION

All living food products respire. During the respiration process, sugar and oxygen are combined to form CO_2 , H_2O , and heat as follows:

Food Item	Thermal Diffusivity, Centistokes	Water Content, % by mass	Fat Content, % by mass	Apparent Density, lb/ft ³	Temperature, °F	Reference
Fruits and Vegetables	contistones	,	, v xy 111055	20110103,10,10	-	
Apple, Red Delicious, whole ^a	0.14	85		52.4	32 to 86	Bennett et al. (1969)
Apple, dried	0.096	42		53.4	73	Sweat (1985)
Applesauce	0.090	37			41	Riedel (1969)
Applesauce	0.11	37		_	149	Riedel (1969)
	0.11	80	_	_	41	
	0.12		_	_		Riedel (1969)
A main a familia d		80	—		149	Riedel (1969)
Apricots, dried	0.11	44		82.6	73	Sweat (1985)
Bananas, flesh	0.12	76			41	Riedel (1969)
cu i cu ib	0.14	76	_		149	Riedel (1969)
Cherries, flesh ^b	0.13		—	65.5	32 to 86	Parker and Stout (1967)
Dates	0.10	35	—	82.3	73	Sweat (1985)
Figs	0.096	40	—	77.4	73	Sweat (1985)
Jam, strawberry	0.12	41	—	81.7	68	Sweat (1985)
Jelly, grape	0.12	42		82.4	68	Sweat (1985)
Peaches ^b	0.14	—	—	59.9	36 to 90	Bennett (1963)
Peaches, dried	0.12	43	_	78.6	73	Sweat (1985)
Potatoes, whole	0.13	_	_	65 to 67	32 to 158	Minh et al. (1969)
						Mathews and Hall (1968)
Potatoes, mashed, cooked	0.12	78	_		41	Riedel (1969)
	0.15	78			149	Riedel (1969)
Prunes	0.12	43		76.1	73	Sweat (1985)
Raisins	0.11	32		86.1	73	Sweat (1985)
Strawberries, flesh	0.13	92	_		41	Riedel (1969)
Sugar beets	0.13	_	_		32 to 140	Slavicek (1962)
Meats						~ /
Codfish	0.12	81	_	_	41	Riedel (1969)
	0.14	81	_	_	149	Riedel (1969)
Halibut ^c	0.15	76	1	66.8	104 to 149	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beef, chuck ^d	0.12	66	16	66.2	104 to 149	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beef, round ^d	0.12	71	4	68.0	104 to 149	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beef, tongue ^d	0.13	68	13	66.2	104 to 149	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beefstick	0.15	37	15	65.5	68	Sweat (1985)
Bologna	0.11	65		62.4	68	Sweat (1985) Sweat (1985)
Corned beef	0.13	65			41	. ,
Corried beer			_	_		Riedel (1969)
TT /	0.13	65	_		149	Riedel (1969)
Ham, country	0.14	72		64.3	68	Sweat (1985)
Ham, smoked	0.12	64			41	Riedel (1969)
Ham, smoked ^d	0.13	64	14	68.0	104 to 149	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Pepperoni	0.093	32	—	66.1	68	Sweat (1985)
Salami	0.13	36		59.9	68	Sweat (1985)
Cakes						
Angel food	0.26	36	—	9.2	73	Sweat (1985)
Applesauce	0.12	24	—	18.7	73	Sweat (1985)
Carrot	0.12	22	_	20.0	73	Sweat (1985)
Chocolate	0.12	32		21.2	73	Sweat (1985)
Pound	0.12	23		30.0	73	Sweat (1985)
Yellow	0.12	25		18.7	73	Sweat (1985)
White	0.10	32		27.8	73	Sweat (1985)

Table 7Thermal Diffusivity of Foods

^aData are applicable only to raw whole apple. ^bFreshly harvested.

^cStored frozen and thawed prior to test. ^dData are applicable only where the juices exuded during heating remain in the food samples.

Table 8 Commodity Respiration Coefficients (Becker et al. 1996b)

	Respiration Co	efficients		Respiration Coefficients			
Commodity	f	g	Commodity	f	g		
Apples	5.6871×10^{-4}	2.5977	Onions	3.668×10^{-4}	2.538		
Blueberries	7.2520×10^{-5}	3.2584	Oranges	2.8050×10^{-4}	2.6840		
Brussels sprouts	0.0027238	2.5728	Peaches	1.2996×10^{-5}	3.6417		
Cabbage	6.0803×10^{-4}	2.6183	Pears	6.3614×10^{-5}	3.2037		
Carrots	0.050018	1.7926	Plums	8.608×10^{-5}	2.972		
Grapefruit	0.0035828	1.9982	Potatoes	0.01709	1.769		
Grapes	7.056×10^{-5}	3.033	Rutabagas (swedes)	1.6524×10^{-4}	2.9039		
Green peppers	3.5104×10^{-4}	2.7414	Snap beans	0.0032828	2.5077		
Lemons	0.011192	1.7740	Sugar beets	8.5913×10^{-3}	1.8880		
Lima beans	9.1051×10^{-4}	2.8480	Strawberries	3.6683×10^{-4}	3.0330		
Limes	2.9834×10^{-8}	4.7329	Tomatoes	2.0074×10^{-4}	2.8350		

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O + 2528 Btu$$
 (40)

In most stored plant products, little cell development takes place, and the greater part of respiration energy is released in the form of heat, which must be taken into account when cooling and storing these living commodities (Becker et al. 1996a). The rate at which this chemical reaction takes place varies with the type and temperature of the commodity.

Becker et al. (1996b) developed correlations that relate a commodity's rate of carbon dioxide production to its temperature. The carbon dioxide production rate can then be related to the commodity's heat generation rate due to respiration. The resulting correlation gives the commodity's respiratory heat generation rate W in Btu/h·lb as a function of temperature t in °F:

$$W = 0.00460f(t)^g \tag{41}$$

The respiration coefficients f and g for various commodities are given in Table 8.

Fruits, vegetables, flowers, bulbs, florists' greens, and nursery stock are storage commodities with significant heats of respiration. Dry plant products, such as seeds and nuts, have very low respiration rates. Young, actively growing tissues, such as asparagus, broccoli and spinach, have high rates of respiration as do immature seeds such as green peas and sweet corn. Fast developing fruits such as strawberries, raspberries, and blackberries, have much higher respiration rates than do fruits that are slow to develop, such as apples, grapes, and citrus fruits.

In general, most vegetables, other than root crops, have a high initial respiration rate for the first one or two days after harvest. Within a few days, the respiration rate quickly lowers to the equilibrium rate (Ryall and Lipton 1972).

Fruits, however, are different from most vegetables. Those fruits that do not ripen during storage, such as citrus fruits and grapes, have fairly constant rates of respiration. Those that ripen in storage, such as apples, peaches, and avocados, exhibit an increase in the respiration rate. At low storage temperatures, around 32°F, the rate of respiration rarely increases because no ripening takes place. However, if fruits are stored at higher temperatures (50°F to 60°F), the respiration rate increases due to ripening and then decreases. Soft fruits, such as blueberries, figs, and strawberries, show a decrease in respiration with time at 32°F. If they become infected with decay organisms, however, respiration increases.

Table 9 lists the heats of respiration as a function of temperature for a variety of commodities while Table 10 shows the change in respiration rate with time. Most of the commodities in Table 9 have a low and a high value for heat of respiration at each temperature. When no range is given, the value is an average for the specified temperature and may be an average of the respiration rates for many days.

When using Table 9, select the lower value for estimating the heat of respiration at the equilibrium storage state and use the higher value for calculating the heat load for the first day or two after harvest, including precooling and short-distance transport. During the storage of fruits between 32°F and 40°F, the increase in the respiration rate due to ripening is slight. However, for fruits, such as mangoes, avocados, or bananas, stored at temperatures above 50°F, significant ripening occurs and the higher rates listed in Table 9 should be used. Vegetables, such as onions, garlic, and cabbage, can exhibit an increase in heat production after a long storage period.

TRANSPIRATION OF FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

The most abundant constituent in fresh fruits and vegetables is water, which exists as a continuous liquid phase within the fruit or vegetable. Transpiration is the process by which fresh fruits and vegetables lose some of this water. This process consists of the transport of moisture through the skin of the commodity, the evaporation of this moisture from the commodity surface, and the convective mass transport of the moisture to the surroundings (Becker et al. 1996b).

The rate of transpiration in fresh fruits and vegetables affects product quality. Moisture transpires continuously from commodities during handling and storage. Some moisture loss is inevitable and can be tolerated. However, under many conditions, the loss of moisture may be sufficient to cause the commodity to shrivel. The resulting loss in mass not only affects appearance, texture, and flavor of the commodity, but also reduces the salable mass (Becker et al. 1996a).

Many factors affect the rate of transpiration from fresh fruits and vegetables. Moisture loss from a fruit or vegetable is driven by a difference in water vapor pressure between the product surface and the environment. Becker and Fricke (1996a) state that the product surface may be assumed to be saturated, and thus, the water vapor pressure at the commodity surface is equal to the water vapor saturation pressure evaluated at the product's surface temperature. However, they also report that dissolved substances in the moisture of the commodity tend to lower the vapor pressure at the evaporating surface slightly.

Evaporation that occurs at the product surface is an endothermic process that cools the surface, thus lowering the vapor pressure at the surface and reducing transpiration. Respiration within the fruit or vegetable, on the other hand, tends to increase the product's temperature, thus raising the vapor pressure at the surface and increasing transpiration. Furthermore, the respiration rate is itself a function of the commodity's temperature (Gaffney et al. 1985). In addition, factors such as surface structure, skin permeability, and air flow also effect the transpiration rate (Sastry et al. 1978).

Becker et al. (1996c) performed a numerical, parametric study to investigate the influence of bulk mass, air flow rate, skin mass transfer coefficient, and relative humidity on the cooling time and moisture loss of a bulk load of apples. They found that relative humidity and skin mass transfer coefficient had little effect on cooling time while bulk mass and airflow rate were of primary importance to cooling time. Moisture loss was found to vary appreciably with relative humidity, airflow rate, and skin mass transfer coefficient while bulk mass had little effect. They reported that an increase in airflow results in a decrease in moisture loss. The increased airflow reduces the cooling time, which quickly reduces the vapor pressure deficit, thus lowering the transpiration rate.

The driving force for transpiration is a difference in water vapor pressure between the surface of a commodity and the surrounding air. Thus, the basic form of the transpiration model is as follows:

$$\dot{m} = k_t (p_s - p_a) \tag{42}$$

where *m* is the transpiration rate expressed as the mass of moisture transpired per unit area of commodity surface per unit time. This rate may also be expressed per unit mass of commodity rather than per unit area of commodity surface. The transpiration coefficient k_t is the mass of moisture transpired per unit area of commodity, per unit water vapor pressure deficit, per unit time. The transpiration coefficient may also be expressed per unit mass of commodity rather than per unit area of commodity surface. The transpiration coefficient may also be expressed per unit mass of commodity rather than per unit area of commodity surface. The quantity $(p_s - p_a)$ is the water vapor pressure deficit. The water vapor pressure at the commodity surface p_s is the water vapor pressure evaluated at the commodity surface temperature while the water vapor pressure in the surrounding air p_a is a function of the relative humidity of the air.

In its simplest form, the transpiration coefficient k_t is considered to be a constant for a particular commodity. Table 11 lists

1998 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook

_		Heat of 1		_			
Commodity	32°F	41 °F	50°F	59°F	68°F	77 ° F	Reference
Apples							
Yellow, transparent	1513	2665	—	7889	12,392	—	Wright et al. (1954)
Delicious	757	1117	—	—		—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Golden Delicious	793	1189	_	_	_	_	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Jonathan	865	1295	_	_	_	_	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
McIntosh	793	1189	_	_	_	_	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Early cultivars	720-1369	1153-2342	3062-4503	3962-6844	4323-9005	_	IIR (1967)
Late cultivars	396-793	1008-1549	1513-2306	2053-4323	3242-5403	_	IIR (1967)
Average of many cultivars	505-901	1117-1585	—	2990-6808	3711-7709	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Apricots	1153-1261	1405-1982	2449-4143	4683-7565	6484-11,527	_	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Artichokes, Globe	5007-9907	7025-13,220	1203-21,649	1704-31,951	3004-51,403		Sastry et al. (1978), Rappaport and Watada (1958)
Asparagus	6015- 17,651	12,032- 30,043	23,630-67,146	35,086-72,152	60,121- 110,228		Sastry et al. (1978), Lipton (1957)
Avocados	*b	*b		13,61634,581	16,246-76,439		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Biale (1960)
Bananas, green	*p	*p	†b	4431-7626	6484-11,527		IIR (1967)
Bananas, ripening	*p	*p	†b	6484-9726	7204-18,011	_	IIR (1967)
Beans							
Lima, unshelled	2306-6628	4323-7925	—	22,046-27,449	29,250-39,480	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Lima, shelled	3890-7709	6412-13,436	—	—	46,577-59,509	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Snap	*b	7529-7709	12,032-12,824	18,731-20,533	26,044-28,673	—	Ryall and Lipton (1972), Watuda and Morris (1966)
Beets, red, roots	1189-1585	2017-2089	2594-2990	3711-5115	—	—	Ryall and Lipton (1972), Smith (1957)
Berries							
Blackberries	3458-5043	6304-10,086	11,527-20,893	15,489-32,060	28,818-43,227	—	IIR (1967)
Blueberries	505-2306	2017-2702	—	7529-13,616	11,419-19,236	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Cranberries	*p	901-1008	—	—	2413-3999	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968) Anderson et al. (1963)
Gooseberries	1513-1909	2702-2990	—	4791-7096	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Smith (1966)
Raspberries	3890-5512	6808-8501	6124-12,248		25,215-54,033	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), IIR (1967), Haller et al. (1941)
Strawberries	2702-3890	3602-7313	10,807-20,893	15,634-20,317			Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), IIR (1967), Maxie et al. (1959)
Broccoli, sprouting	4107-4719	7601-35,226		38,256-74,890	61,274-75,106	85,805- 123,376	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Morris (1947), Scholz et al. (1963)
Brussels Sprouts	3386-5295	7096-10,698	13,904-18,623	21,037-23,523	19,848-41,894	_	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)
Cabbage							
Penn State	865	2089-2234	_	4935-6988	_	_	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
White, winter	1081-1801	1621-3062	2702-3962	4323-5944	7925-9006	_	IIR (1967)
White, spring	2089-2990	3890-4719	6412-7313	11,815-12,609		—	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)
Red, early	1693-2161	3423-3783	5224-61,238	8105-9366	12,248-12,608	—	IIR (1967)
Savoy	3422-4683	5584-6484	11,527-13,509	19,272-21,794	28,818-32,420		IIR (1967)
Carrots, Roots							
Imperator, Texas	3386	4323	6916	8718	15,526		Scholz et al. (1963) Smith(1957)
Main Crop, U.K.	757-1513	1296-2666	2161-3423	6448-14,589 at 65°F	—	—	
Nantes, Canada ^d	684	1477	_	4755-6232		_	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Cauliflower, Texas	3926	4503	7456	10,158	17,687	—	Scholz et al. (1963)
Cauliflower, U.K.	1693-5295	4323-6015	9006-10,734	14,841-18,047		—	Smith (1957)
Celery, N.Y., white Celery, U.K.	1585 1117-1585	2413 2017-2810	4323-6015	8215 8609-9221 at 65°F	14,229		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968) Smith(1957)
Celery, Utah, Canada ^e	1117	1982	_	at 65°F 6556	_	_	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Cherries, sour	296-2918	2810-2918		6015-11,022	8609-11,022		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968),
	270-2710	2010-2710		0013-11,022	0007-11,022	11,700-13,034	Hawkins (1929)

Table 9	Heat of Respiration of Fresh Fruits and V	Vegetables Held at Various Temperatures
I unit >	ficut of ficespiration of fresh france and	egotables field at various femperatures

	Heat of Respiration of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Heid at Various Temperatures (<i>Continuea</i>) Heat of Respiration, Btu/day per Ton of Produce									
Commodity	32°F	 Reference								
Cherries, sweet	901-1189	41 °F 2089-3098	50°F	59°F 5512-9907	68°F 6196-7025	77°F	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968),			
	,01 110,	2007 2070		0012 >>07	0170 7020		Micke et al. (1965)			
Corn, sweet with husk, Texas	9366	17,111	24,676	35,878	63,543	89,695	Scholz et al. (1963)			
Cucumbers, Calif.	*b	*b	5079-6376	5295-7313	6844-10,591	_	Eaks and Morris (1956)			
Figs, mission	_	2413-2918	4863-5079	10,807-13,940	12,536-20,929	18,731-20,929	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Claypool and Ozbek (1952)			
Garlic	648-2413	1296-2125	2017-2125	2413-6015	2197-3999	—	Sustry et al. (1978), Mann and Lewis (1956)			
Grapes										
Concord	612	1189	—	3494	7204	8501	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Lutz (1938)			
Emperor	288-505	684-1296	1801	2197-2594	—	5512-6628	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Pentzer et al. (1933)			
Thompson seedless Ohanez	432 288	1045 720	1693 2		_	_	Wright et al. (1954) Wright et al. (1954)			
Grapefruit, Calif. Marsh	*b	*b	*b	2594	3890	4791	Haller et al. (1945)			
Grapefruit, Florida	*p	b	*p	2810	3494	4214	Haller et al. (1945)			
Horseradish	1801	2377	5800	7204	9834	—	Sastry et al. (1978)			
Kiwi fruit	616	1455	2889		3858-4254		Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)			
Kohlrabi	2197	3602	6916	10,807	—	_	Sastry et al. (1978)			
Leeks	2089-3062	4323-6412	11,815-15,021	18,227-25,756	—	—	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)			
Lemons, Calif., Eureka	*p	*p	*p	3494	5007	5727	Haller (1945)			
Lettuce										
Head, Calif.	2017-3711	2918-4395	6015-8826	8501-9006	13,220	—	Sastry et al. (1978)			
Head, Texas	2306	2918	4791	7925	12,536	181 at 180°F	Watt and Merrill (1963),			
. (m	5050	6110	0.001	12.000	22.110	22.275	Lutz and Hardenburg, (1968)			
Leaf, Texas	5079	6448 4575	8681	13,869	22,118	32,275	Scholz et al. (1963)			
Romaine, Texas		4575 * ^b	7817	9762	15,093	23,883	Scholz et al. (1963)			
Limes, Persian			576-1261	1296-2306	1513-4107		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)			
Mangos	*p	*p	_	9907	16,534-33,356	26,441	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Gore (1911), Karmarkar and Joshe (1941)			
Melons										
Cantaloupes	*b	1909-2197	3423	7420-8501	9834-14,229	13,725-15,741	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Sastry et al. (1978),			
Honeydew	_	*p	1765	2594-3494	4395-5259	5800-7601	Scholz et al. (1963) Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Scholz (1963),			
Watermelon	*p	*p	1657	_	3818-5512	_	Pratt and Morris (1958) Lutz and Hardenburg (1968),			
Minam	17(0.220)	((14	16754 20 0(1	22 1 49 20 091	26 505 50 041	56 655 60 882	Scholz et al. (1963)			
Mint ^m	1769-3306	6614	16,754-20,061	23,148-29,981	36,595-50,041	50,055-09,885	Hruschka and Want (1979)			
Mushrooms	6196-9618	15,634	_	_	58,104-69,738	_	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Smith (1964)			
Nuts (kind not specified)	181	360	720	720	1081	—	IIR (1967)			
Okra, Clemson	*p	76,043	19,236	32,132	57,527	76,040 at 85 °F	Scholz et al. (1963)			
Onions										
Dry, Autumn Spice ^f	505-684	793-1477	1595	2089-5548	2711		Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)			
Dry, White Bermuda Green, N.J.	648 2306-4899	757 3819-15 021	1585 7961-12,968	2449 14 553-21 434	3711 17,205-34,225		Scholz et al. (1963) Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)			
	2306-4899 *b	3819-15,021 * ^b	/ 201-12,908	14,553-21,434						
Olives, Manzanillo			2702	4791-8609	8501-10,807		Maxie et al. (1959)			
Oranges, Florida	684 * ^b	1405	2702	4611	6628 6015		Haller (1945)			
Oranges, Calif., W. Navel Oranges, Calif., Valencia	*p *p	1405 1008	2990 2594	5007 2810	6015 3890	7997 4611	Haller (1945) Haller (1945)			
	*p	1008 *b			3070					
Papayas			2485	3314-4791	42.000.51.01		Pantastico (1974), Jones (1942)			
Parsley ^m	7277- 10,140	14,549- 18,738		31,746-49,163	43,208-56,216	67,902-75,174	Hruschka and Want (1979)			
Parsnips, U.K.	2558-3423	1946-3854	4503-5800	7096-9438	—	—	Smith (1957)			
Parsnips Hollow Crown, Canada	793-1801	1369-3386		4755-10,195	_	_	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)			

 Table 9
 Heat of Respiration of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Held at Various Temperatures (Continued)

1998 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook

lable 9	Heat of Respiration of Fresh	Fruits and Vegetables Held at	Various Temperatures (Continued)
---------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

		Heat of 1	Respiration, Bt	u/day per Ton o	of Produce		
Commodity	32°F	41 °F	50 °F	59°F	68°F	77 ° F	Reference
Peaches, Elberta	829	1441	3458	7565	13,509	19,812 at 80°F	Haller et al. (1932)
Peaches, several cultivars	901-1405	1405-2017	_	7313-9330	13,040-22,549	17,939-26,837	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Peanuts Cured ^h Not cured, VA Bunch ⁱ Dixie Spanish	3 at 85°F				, ,	51 at 85°F 3120 at 85°F	Thompson et al. (1951) Schenk (1959, 1961) Schenk (1959, 1961)
Pears							
Bartlett	684-1513	1117-2197	—	3314- 13,220	6628-15,417	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
late ripening	576-793	1296-3062	1729-4143	6124-9366	7204-16,210	_	IIR (1967)
early ripening	576-1081	1621-3423	2161-4683	7565- 11,887	8645-19,812	—	IIR (1967)
Peas, green-in-pod	6700- 10,302	12,139- 16,822	_	39,372-44,595	54,105-79,645	75,646-83,067	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Peas, shelled	10,410- 16,642	17,435- 21,444	_		76,871-10,893	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Peppers, sweet	*b	*b	3170	5043	9654		Scholz et al. (1963)
Persimmons		1296		2594-3098	4395-5295	6412-8826	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Gore (1911)
Pineapple, mature green	*p	*b	1225	2846	5331	7817 at 80°F	Scholz et al. (1963)
Pineapple, ripening	*b	*b	1657	3999	8790	13,797	Scholz et al. (1963)
Plums, Wickson	432-648	865-1982	1981-2522	2630-2737	3962-5727	6160-15,634	Claypool and Allen (1951)
Potatoes							¥
Calif. White Rose,							
Immature	*p	2594	3098-4611	3098-6808	3999-9932		Sastry et al. (1978)
Mature	*p	1296-1513	1467-2197	1467-2594	1467-3494		Sastry et al. (1978)
Very mature	*b	1117-1513	1513	1513-2197	2017-2630		Sastry et al. (1978)
Katahdin, Canada ^j	*b	865-936		1729-2234			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972
Kennebec	*p	793-936		936-1982			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972
Radishes, with tops	3206-3818	4214-4611	6808-8105		27,341-30,043		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Radishes, topped	1189-1296	1693-1801	3314-3494	6124-7204	10,519-10,807	14,841-16,751	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Rhubarb, topped	1801-2918	2413-3999		6808-10,014	8826-12,536		Hruschka (1966)
Rutabaga, Laurentian, Can. ^k	432-612	1045-1124		2342-3458			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972
Spinach							
Texas U.K., summer	2558-4719	10,122 6015-7096	24,387 12,896-16,534	39,409	50,683 40,777-47,65		Scholz et al. (1963) Smith (1957)
U.K., winter	3854-5584	6448-13,869	15,021-22,766		at 65 °F 42,938-53,673 at 65 °F		Smith (1957)
Squash							
Summer, yellow, straight- neck	\dagger^{b}	† ^b	7709-8105	16,534-20,028	18,731-21,434		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Winter Butternut	*b	*b				16,318-26,908	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Sweet Potatoes							
Cured, Puerto Rico	*b	*b	† ^b	3530-4863			Lewis and Morris (1956)
Cured, Yellow Jersey	*b	*b	† ^b	4863-5079			Lewis and Morris (1956)
Noncured	*p	*p	*p	6304		11,923-16,138	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Tomatoes							
Texas, mature green	*p	*p	*p	4503	7637	9402 at 80°F	Scholz et al. (1963)
Texas, ripening	*b	*b	*b	5872	8933		Scholz et al. (1963)
Calif. mature green	*b	*b	*b		5295-7709	6592-10,591	Workman and Pratt (1957)
Turnip, roots	1909	2089-2197		4719-5295	5295-5512		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Watercress ¹	3306	9920	20,061-26,674	29 981-43 208	66,576-76,719	76 720-96 561	Hruschka and Want (1979)

^aColumn headings indicate temperatures at which respiration rates were determined, within 2 °F, except where the actual temperatures are given. ^bThe symbol * denotes a chilling temperature. The symbol † denotes the temperature

^RRates are for 30 to 60 days and 60 to 120 days storage, the longer storage having the higher rate, except at 32 °F, where they were the same.

^dRates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days storage, respiration increasing with

is borderline, not damaging to some cultivars, if exposure is short.

time only at 59°F.

 h Shelled peanuts with about 7% moisture. Respiration after 60 h curing was almost negligible, even at 85 $^\circ\!F$

ⁱRespiration for freshly dug peanuts, not cured, with about 35 to 40% moisture. During curing, peanuts in the shell were dried to about 5 to 6% moisture, and in roasting are dried further to about 2% moisture.

^jHarvested 141 days after planting (Morris 1952).

^kRates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days with rate declining with time at 41 °F but increasing at 59 °F as sprouting started.

eRates are for 30 to 60 days storage. fRates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days storage; rates increased with time at all temperatures as dormancy was lost.

gRates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days; rates increased with time at all temperatures.

¹Rates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days; rates increased with time, especially at 59 °F where sprouting occurred.

^mRates are for 1 day after harvest.

Commodity	Days in	Heat of Respiration, Btu/day per Ton of Produce				Days in	Heat of Respiration, Btu/day per Ton of Produce		
	Storage	32°F	41 °F	Reference	Commodity	Storage	32 °F	41 °F	Reference
Apples, Grimes	7	648	2882	Harding (1929)	Garlic	10	865	1982	Mann and Lewis
			at 50°F			30	1333	3314	(1956)
	30	648	3854			180	3098	7277	
	80	648	2413						
					Lettuce, Great Lakes	1	3747	4395	Pratt et al.
Artichokes, Globe	1	9907	13,220	Rappaport and		5	1982	33	(1954)
	4	5512	7709	Watada (1958)		10	1765	3314	
	16	3314	5727						
					Olives, Manzanillo	1	—	8610 at 60°F	Maxie et al. (1960)
Asparagus,	1	17,652	2316	Lipton (1957)		5	_	6376	
Martha Washington	3	8682	14,337			10		4864	
	16	6160	6629						
					Onions, red	1	360	—	Karmarkar and Joshe (1941)
Beans, lima, in pod	2	6593	7925	Tewfik and Scott (1954)		30	541	—	
	4	4431	6376			120	720	—	
	6	3890	5836						
					Plums, Wickson	2	432	865	Claypool and
Blueberries,	1	1585	—			6	432	1549	Allen (1951)
Blue Crop	2	584	—			18	648	1982	
		1261	—						
					Potatoes	2	—	1333	Morris (1959)
Broccoli, Waltham 29	1	—	16,102			6		1765	
	4	—	9690			10	—	1549	
	8	—	7277						
					Strawberries, Shasta	1	3873	6305	Maxie et al.
Corn, sweet, in husk	1	11,312	—	Scholz et al.		2	2918	6772	(1959)
	2	8106	—	(1963)		5	2918	7277	
	4	6772	—						
Figs, Mission	1 2	2882 2630	—	Claypool and Ozbek (1952)	Tomatoes, Pearson, mature green	5		706 at 70°F	Workman and Pratt (1957)
	12	2630	—			15		6160	
						20	_	5295	

Table 10 Change in Respiration Rates with Time

values for the transpiration coefficients k_t of various fruits and vegetables (Sastry et al. 1978). Because of the many factors that influence transpiration rate, not all the values in Table 11 are reliable. They are to be used primarily as a guide or as a comparative indication of various commodity transpiration rates obtained from the literature.

Fockens and Meffert (1972) modified the simple transpiration coefficient to model variable skin permeability and to account for air flow rate. Their modified transpiration coefficient takes the following form:

$$k_t = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_a} + \frac{1}{k_s}} \tag{43}$$

where k_a is the air film mass transfer coefficient and k_s is the skin mass transfer coefficient. The air film mass transfer coefficient k_a describes the convective mass transfer which occurs at the surface of the commodity and is a function of air flow rate. The skin mass transfer coefficient k_s describes the skin's diffusional resistance to moisture migration.

The air film mass transfer coefficient k_a can be estimated by using the Sherwood-Reynolds-Schmidt correlations (Becker et al. 1996b). The Sherwood number is defined as follows:

$$Sh = \frac{k_a' d}{\delta} \tag{44}$$

where k'_{a} is the air film mass transfer coefficient, *d* is the diameter of the commodity, and δ is the coefficient of diffusion of water vapor in air. For convective mass transfer from a spherical fruit or vegetable, Becker and Fricke (1996b) recommend the following Sherwood-Reynolds-Schmidt correlation, which was taken from Geankoplis (1978):

$$Sh = 2.0 + 0.552 Re^{0.53} Sc^{0.33}$$
(45)

In the equation Re is the Reynolds number (Re = u dv) and Sc is the Schmidt number (Sc = v/δ) where u is the free stream air velocity and v is the kinematic viscosity of air. The driving force for k_a' is concentration. However, the driving force in the transpiration model is vapor pressure. Thus, the following conversion from concentration to vapor pressure is required:

Table 11 Transpiration Coefficients of Certain Fruits and Vegetables									
Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ppm/(h•in.Hg)	Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ppm/(h•in.Hg)	Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ppm/(h·in.Hg)				
Apples		Leeks		Pears					
Jonathan	430	Musselburgh	12,600	Passe Crane	974				
Golden Delicious	710	Average for all varieties	9600	Beurre Clairgeau	986				
Bramley's Seedling	510	Lemons		Average for all varieties	840				
Average for all varieties	510	Eureka							
Brussels Sprouts		Dark green	2760	Plums					
Unspecified	40,100	Yellow	1700	Victoria					
Average for all varieties	75,000	Average for all varieties	2270	Unripe	2410				
Cabbage		Lettuce		Ripe	1400				
Penn State Ballhead		Unrivalled	106,000	Wickson	1510				
Trimmed	3300	Average for all varieties	90,200	Average for all varieties	1660				
Untrimmed	4920	Onions							
Mammoth		Autumn Spice		Potatoes					
Trimmed	2920	Uncured	1170	Manona					
Average for all varieties	2720	Cured	535	Mature	304				
Carrots		Sweet White Spanish		Kennebec					
Nantes	20,000	Cured	1500	Uncured	2080				
Chantenay	21,500	Average for all varieties	730	Cured	730				
Average for all varieties	14,700	Oranges		Sebago					
Celery		Valencia	710	Uncured	1920				
Unspecified varieties	25,400	Navel	1270	Cured	462				
Average for all varieties	21,500	Average for all varieties	1430	Average for all varieties	540				
Grapefruit		Parsnips							
Unspecified varieties	380	Hollow Crown	23,500	Rutabagas					
March	670			Laurentian	5710				
Average for all varieties	990	Peaches							
Grapes		Redhaven							
Emperor	960	Hard mature	11,200	Tomatoes					
Cardinal	1220	Soft mature	12,400	Marglobe	864				
Thompson	2480	Elberta	3330	Eurocross BB	1410				
Average for all varieties	1500	Average for all varieties	6970	Average for all varieties	1710				

 Table 11
 Transpiration Coefficients of Certain Fruits and Vegetables

Note: Sastry et al. (1978) gathered this data as part of a literature review. The averages reported are the average of all published data found by Sastry et al. for each commodity. Sastry et al. selected specific varietal data because they considered it to be highly reliable data.

$$k_a = \frac{1}{R_{\rm H_2O}T} k_a'$$
(46) Table 12 Commodity Skin Mass Transfer Coefficient
Skin Mass Transfer Coefficient, k_s , lb/(ft²·h·in.F

where $R_{\rm H_2O}$ is the gas constant for water vapor and T is the absolute mean temperature of the boundary layer.

The skin mass transfer coefficient k_s , which describes the resistance to moisture migration through the skin of a commodity, is based upon the fraction of the product surface covered by pores. Although it is difficult to theoretically determine the skin mass transfer coefficient, experimental determination has been performed by Chau et al. (1987) and Gan and Woods (1989). These experimental values of k_s are given in Table 12, along with estimated values of the skin mass transfer coefficient for grapes, onions, plums, and potatoes. Note that three values of skin mass transfer coefficient are tabulated for most commodities. These values correspond to the spread of the experimental data.

SURFACE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT

Although the surface heat transfer coefficient is not a thermal property of a food or beverage, it is needed to design heat transfer equipment for the processing of foods and beverages where convection is involved. Newton's law of cooling defines the surface heat transfer coefficient *h* as follows:

$$q = hA(t_s - t) \tag{47}$$

	Skin Mass Transfer Coefficient, k_s , lb/(ft ² ·h·in.F							
Commodity	Low	Mean	High	Standard Deviation				
Apples	2.77×10^{-4}	4.17×10^{-4}	$5.67 imes 10^{-4}$	7.49×10^{-5}				
Blueberries	$2.38 imes 10^{-3}$	5.47×10^{-3}	8.46×10^{-3}	1.60×10^{-3}				
Brussels sprouts	2.41×10^{-2}	3.32×10^{-2}	4.64×10^{-2}	$6.09 imes 10^{-3}$				
Cabbage	$6.24 imes 10^{-3}$	1.68×10^{-2}	$3.25 imes 10^{-2}$	7.09×10^{-3}				
Carrots	$7.94 imes 10^{-2}$	3.90×10^{-1}	9.01×10^{-1}	1.90×10^{-1}				
Grapefruit	2.72×10^{-3}	4.19×10^{-3}	5.54×10^{-3}	$8.24 imes 10^{-4}$				
Grapes		1.00×10^{-3}						
Green peppers	1.36×10^{-3}	5.39×10^{-3}	1.09×10^{-2}	1.77×10^{-3}				
Lemons	2.72×10^{-3}	$5.19 imes 10^{-3}$	8.74×10^{-3}	1.60×10^{-3}				
Lima beans	$8.16 imes 10^{-3}$	1.08×10^{-2}	$1.43 imes 10^{-2}$	1.47×10^{-3}				
Limes	2.60×10^{-3}	$5.54 imes 10^{-3}$	8.69×10^{-3}	1.40×10^{-3}				
Onions		2.22×10^{-3}		_				
Oranges	$3.45 imes 10^{-3}$	4.29×10^{-3}	$5.34 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.24 imes 10^{-4}$				
Peaches	3.40×10^{-3}	3.55×10^{-2}	$1.15 imes 10^{-1}$	1.30×10^{-2}				
Pears	1.31×10^{-3}	1.71×10^{-3}	3.00×10^{-3}	3.72×10^{-4}				
Plums		3.44×10^{-3}		_				
Potatoes		1.59×10^{-3}		_				
Rutabagas (swedes)		2.91×10^{-1}						
Snap beans	$8.64 imes 10^{-3}$	1.41×10^{-2}	2.50×10^{-2}	4.42×10^{-3}				
Sugar beets	2.27×10^{-2}	8.39×10^{-2}	2.18×10^{-1}	5.02×10^{-2}				
Strawberries	$9.86 imes 10^{-3}$	3.40×10^{-2}	6.62×10^{-2}	1.20×10^{-2}				
Tomatoes	5.42×10^{-4}	2.75×10^{-3}	$6.07 imes 10^{-3}$	1.67×10^{-3}				
Source: Becker and Fricke (1996a)								

Source: Becker and Fricke (1996a)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Product	Shape Length, in. ^a	Transfer Medium	∆ <i>t</i> and/or Temp. <i>t</i> of Medium, °F	Velocity of Medium, ft/s	Reynolds Number Range ^b	<i>h</i> , Btu/ (h∙ft ² •°F)	Nu-Re-Pr Correlation ^c	Reference	Comments
Apple, S Jonathan	Spherical 2.0	Air	<i>t</i> = 81	0 1.3 3.0 6.7 17.0	N/A	2.0 3.0 4.8 8.0 9.4	N/A	Kopelman et al. (1966)	N/A indicates that data were not reported in original article
	2.3			0 1.3 3.0 6.7 17.0 0		2.0 3.0 4.9 7.9 9.6 2.0			
	2.4			1.3 3.0 6.7 17.0		2.8 4.6 6.9 8.9			
Apple, Red Delicious	2.5 2.8 3.0	Air	$\Delta t = 41$ $t = 31$	4.9 15.0 4.9 15.0 0 4.9 9.8	N/A	4.8 10.0 2.5 6.5 1.8 4.0 5.8	N/A	Nicholas et al. (1964)	Thermocouples at center of fruit.
	2.2 2.8 3.0	Water	$\Delta t = 46$ $t = 32$	15.0 0.90		6.1 16.0 14.0 9.8			
Beef carcass	142 lb* 187 lb*	Air	t = -3	5.9 1.0	N/A	3.8 1.8	N/A	Fedorov et al. (1972)	*For size indication.
Cucumbers	Cylinder 1.5	Air	t = 39	3.28 4.10 4.92 5.74 6.56	N/A	3.2 305 3.8 4.1 4.7	$Nu = 0.291 Re^{0.592} Pr^{0.33}$	³ Dincer (1994)	Diameter = 38 mm. Length = 160 mm.
Eggs, Jifujitori	1.3	Air	$\Delta t = 81$	6.6–26	6000- 15000	N/A	$Nu = 0.46 Re^{0.56} \pm 1.0\%$	Chuma et al. (1970)	5 points in correlation.
Eggs, leghorn	1.7	Air	$\Delta t = 81$	6.6–26	8000- 25000	N/A	$Nu = 0.71 Re^{0.55} \pm 1.0\%$	Chuma et al. (1970)	5 points in correlation.
Figs	Spherical 1.85	Air	<i>t</i> = 39	3.61 4.92 5.74 8.20	N/A	4.2 4.6 4.8 5.8	$Nu = 1.560 Re^{0.426} Pr^{0.33}$	³ Dincer (1994)	
Fish Pike, perch, sh	N/A leatfish	Air	N/A	3.2–22	5000- 35000	N/A	$Nu = 4.5 Re^{0.28} \pm 10\%$	Khatchaturov (1958)	32 points in correlation.
Grapes	Cylinder 0.43	Air	t = 39	3.28 4.10 4.92 5.74 6.56	N/A	5.4 6.0 6.7 7.2 7.4	$Nu = 0.291 Re^{0.592} Pr^{0.33}$	³ Dincer (1994)	Diameter = 11 mm Length = 22 mm
Hams, boneless	$G^* = 0.4-0.45$	Air	$\Delta t = 132$ $t = 150$	N/A	1000- 86000	N/A	$Nu = 0.329 Re^{0.564}$	Ref: Clary et al.	(1968)
processed	* G = Geom factor for sh fitted plastic	nrink-						$G = 1/4 + 3/(8A^2) + 3/(8B^2)$ A = a/Z, B = b/Z A = characteristic length $= 0.5 \text{ min. dist. } \perp \text{ to airflow}$ a = minor axis b = major axis Correlation on 18 points Recalc with min. distance \perp to airflow Calculated Nu with 1/2 char. length	
Hams, processed	N/A	Air	t = -10 t = -55 t = -60 t = -70 t = -80	2.0	N/A	3.6 3.6 3.5 3.5 3.2	N/A	Van den Berg and Lentz (1957	38 points total.) Values are averages.

 Table 13
 Surface Heat Transfer Coefficients for Food Products

1998 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook

	•	2	4	-	(-	0		10
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Product	Shape Length, in. ^a	Transfer Medium	∆ <i>t</i> and/or Temp. <i>t</i> of Medium, °F	Velocity of Medium, ft/s	Number	<i>h</i> , Btu/ (h∙ft ² •°F)	Nu-Re-Pr Correlation ^c	Reference	Comments
Meat	Slabs 0.91 thick	Air	<i>t</i> = 32	1.8 4.6 12.0	N/A	1.9 3.5 6.2	N/A	Radford et al. (1976)
Oranges, Grapefruit, Tangelos,	Spheroids 2.3 3.1	Air	$\Delta t = 70$ to 56 t = 16	0.36–1.1	35000- 135000	11.7*	$Nu = 5.05 Re^{0.333}$ for oranges		al. (1966) 16 in. 36 points in correla- ackaging. Interstitial veloc-
bulk packed	2.1					riveruge	for oranges	ity.	
Oranges, Grapefruit, bulk packed	Spheroids 3.0 4.2	Air	$\Delta t = 91$ $t = 32$	0.17-6.7	180- 18000	N/A	$Nu = 1.17 Re^{0.529}$	Baird and Gaffney (1976)	20 points in correlation Bed depth: 26 in.
Peas, fluidized bed	Spherical	Air	t = -15 to -35	4.9-2.4 ±1.0	1000- 4000	N/A	$Nu = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} Re^{1.5}$	Kelly (1965)	Bed depth: 2 in.
Peas, bulk packed	Spherical N/A	Air	t = -15 to -35	4.9-2.4 ±1.0	1000- 6000	N/A	$Nu = 0.016 Re^{0.95}$	Kelly (1965)	
Pears	Spherical 2.36	Air	t=39	3.28 4.10 4.92 5.74 6.56	N/A	2.2 2.5 2.8 2.8 3.4	Nu = 1.560Re ^{0.426} Pr ^{0.33}	³ Dincer (1994)	
Potatoes Pungo, bulk packed	Ellipsoid N/A N/A	Air	<i>t</i> = 40	2.2 4.0 4.5 5.7	3000-9000		$Nu = 0.364 Re^{0.558} Pr^{1/3}$ (at top of bin)	Use interstitial Bin is 30×20 >	velocity to calculate Re. < 9 in. s average of 3 reps with
Poultry Chickens and turkeys	2.6 to 20.8 lb*	**	$\Delta t = 32$	*	N/A	74 to 83	N/A	Lentz (1969)	Vacuum packaged. * Moderately agitated Chickens 2.4 to 6.4 lb Turkeys 11.9 to 21 lb **CaCl ₂ Brine, 26% by mass.
Soybeans	Spherical 2.6	Air	N/A	22	1200- 4600	N/A	$Nu = 1.07 Re^{0.64}$	Otten (1974)	8 points in correlation. Bed depth: 1.3 in.
Squash	Cylinder 1.8	Water	1.64 3.28 4.92	0.16	N/A	47.9 36.1 29.2	N/A	Dincer (1993)	Diameter = 1.8 in. Length = 6.1 in.
Tomatoes	Spherical 70	Air	t = 39	3.28 4.10 4.92 5.74 6.56	N/A	1.9 2.3 2.4 2.6 3.0	$Nu = 1.560 Re^{0.426} Pr^{0.33}$	³ Dincer (1994)	
Karlsruhe substance	Slab 3.0	Air	$\Delta t = 96$ $t = 100$	N/A	N/A	2.9	N/A	Cleland and Earle (1976)	Packed in aluminum foil and brown paper
Milk container	Cylinder 2.8 × 3.9 2.8 × 5.9 2.8 × 9.8	Air	$\Delta t = 9.5$	N/A	$\begin{array}{l} Gr = 10^6 - \\ 5 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	N/A	$Nu = 0.754 Gr^{0.264}$	Leichter et al. (1976)	Emissivity = 0.7 300 points in correlation. L = characteristic length. All cylinders 2.8 in. dia.
Acrylic	Ellipsoid 3.0 (minor axis) G = 0.297 - 1.0	Air	$\Delta t = 80$	6.9-26	12000- 50000	N/A	Nu = $a Re^{b}$ a = 0.32 - 0.22G b = 0.44 + 0.23G	-	$(2^{2}) + 3/(8B^{2})$ th / char. length
Acrylic	Spherical 3.0	Air	<i>t</i> = 24	2.17 4.04 4.46 5.68	3700- 10000	2.6* 2.5 3.9 3.8	$Nu = 2.58 Re^{0.303} Pr^{1/3}$	<i>Ref</i> : Minh et al. Random packed used to calcula	(1969) 1. Intersticial velocity te Re. : $30 \times 18 \times 24$ in.

а D. oducts (Contin (ba Table 12 6-.c. тт e C. ee: .: £. . F

^aCharacteristic length is used in Reynolds number and illustrated in the Comments column 10 where appropriate.
 ^bCharacteristic length is given in column 2, free stream velocity is used, unless specified otherwise in the Comments column 10.
 ^cNu = Nusselt number, Re = Reynolds number, Gr = Grashoff number, Pr = Prandtl number.

where q is the heat transfer rate, t_s is the surface temperature of the food, t is the surrounding fluid temperature, and A is the surface area of the food through which the heat transfer occurs.

The surface heat transfer coefficient h depends on the velocity of the surrounding fluid, product geometry, orientation, surface roughness and packaging, as well as other factors. Therefore, for most applications h must be determined experimentally. Experimentalists have generally reported their findings as correlations, which give the Nusselt number as a function of the Reynolds number and the Prandtl number.

Experimentally determined values of the surface heat transfer coefficient are given in Table 13. The first two columns of the table describe the product used in the experiment and its shape. Columns 3 through 6 describe the experimental conditions used to determine the surface heat transfer coefficient. Column 7 gives the experimentally determined values of the surface heat transfer coefficient while Column 8 contains the reported Nusselt-Reynolds-Prandtl correlation, if any, and its associated error. Columns 9 and 10 state the source from which the surface heat transfer coefficient data and/or correlation was obtained as well as additional comments.

The following guidelines are important for the use of Table 13:

- 1. Use a Nusselt-Reynolds-Prandtl correlation or a value of the surface heat transfer coefficient that applies to the Reynolds number called for in the design
- 2. Avoid extrapolations
- 3. Use data for the same heat transfer medium, including temperature and temperature difference, which are similar to the design conditions. The proper characteristic length and fluid velocity, either free stream velocity or interstitial velocity, should be used in calculating the Reynolds number and the Nusselt number.

NOMENCLATURE

- a = parameter in Equation (26): $a = 3k_c/(2k_c + k_d)$
- A = surface area
- $b = \text{parameter in Equation (26): } b = V_d/(V_c + V_d)$
- c = specific heat
- c_a = apparent specific heat
- c_f = specific heat of fully frozen food
- c'_i = specific heat of i^{th} food component
- $c_p = \text{constant pressure specific heat}$
- c_u^r = specific heat of unfrozen food
- \ddot{d} = commodity diameter
- D = characteristic dimension
- E = ratio of relative molecular masses of water and solids: $E = M_w/M_s$
- f = respiration coefficient
- F_1 = parameter given by Equation (32)
- g = respiration coefficient
- h =surface heat transfer coefficient
- H = enthalpy
- H_f = enthalpy at initial freezing temperature
- H_i = enthalpy of the *i*th food component
- k = thermal conductivity
- k_1 = thermal conductivity of component 1
- k_2 = thermal conductivity of component 2 k_a' = air film mass transfer coefficient (driving force: vapor pressure)
- \ddot{k}_a = air film mass transfer coefficient (driving force: concentration)
- k_c = thermal conductivity of continuous phase
- k_d = thermal conductivity of discontinuous phase
- $\vec{k_i}$ = thermal conductivity of the *i*th component
- $k_{\rm r} = {\rm skin \ mass \ transfer \ coefficient}$
- k_t = transpiration coefficient
- $k_{=}$ = thermal conductivity parallel to food fibers
- k_{\perp} thermal conductivity perpendicular to food fibers $L^3 =$ volume fraction of discontinuous phase
- L_{a} = latent heat of fusion of water at 32°F = 144 Btu/lb
- m = mass
- \dot{m} = transpiration rate
- M = parameter in Equation (28) = $L^2(1 k_d/k_c)$
- M_s = relative molecular mass of soluble solids
- M_w = relative molecular mass of water

- n = normal surface vector
- Nu = Nusselt number
- N^2 = volume fraction of discontinuous phase
- P = parameter in Equation (30) = $N(1 k_d/k_c)$

8.27

- Pr = Prandtl number
- p_a = water vapor pressure in air
- p_s = water vapor pressure at commodity surface
- q = heat transfer rate
- Q = heat transfer
- R = universal gas constant = 1.986 Btu/lb mol·°R
- R_1 = volume fraction of component 1
- Re = Reynolds number
- $R_{\rm H_2O}$ = universal gas constant for water vapor
 - Sc = Schmidt number
 - Sh = Sherwood number
 - $t = \text{food temperature, }^{\circ}\text{F}$
 - t_f = initial freezing temperature of food, °F
 - t_r = reference temperature = -40°F
 - t_s = surface temperature, °F
 - t = ambient temperature, °F
 - T = food temperature, °R

 - T_f = initial freezing point of food item, °R T_{o} = freezing point of water; T_{o} = 491.7°R

 - T_r = reference temperature = 419.7 °R (-40°F)
 - \overline{T} = reduced temperature
 - u = free stream air velocity
 - V_c = volume of continuous phase
 - V_d = volume of discontinuous phase
 - W = rate of heat generation due to respiration, Btu/h·lb
 - $x_1 = \text{mass fraction of component } 1$
 - $x_a = \text{mass fraction of ash}$
 - $x_b = \text{mass fraction of bound water}$
 - $x_f =$ mass fraction of fat
 - $x_{fb} = \text{mass fraction of fiber}$
 - $x_i = \text{mass fraction of } i^{\text{th}} \text{ food component}$
- $x_{ice} = mass fraction of ice$
- $x_p =$ mass fraction of protein
- $\dot{x}_{s} = \text{mass fraction of solids}$
- $x_{wo} =$ mass fraction of water in unfrozen food
- x_i^v = volume fraction i^{th} food component
- y =correlation parameter in Equation (19)
- z = correlation parameter in Equation (19)
- α = thermal diffusivity
- δ = diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air
- Δc = difference in specific heats of water and ice = $c_{water} c_{ice}$
- ΔH = enthalpy difference

REFERENCES

Acre, J.A., and V.E. Sweat. 1980. Survey of published heat transfer coeffi-

Anderson, R.E., R.E. Hardenburg, and H.C. Baught. 1963. Controlled atmo-

Babbitt, J.D. 1945. The thermal properties of wheat in bulk. Canadian Jour-

Baird, C.D., and J.J. Gaffney. 1976. A numerical procedure for calculating heat transfer in bulk loads of fruits or vegetables. ASHRAE Transactions

Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996a. A numerical model of mois-

ture loss and heat loads in refrigerated storage of fruits and vegetables.

sphere storage studies with cranberries. ASHS 83: 416.

Frigair '96 Congress and Exhibition, Johannesburg.

ASHRAE. 1993. Fundamentals handbook. Table 1, Chapter 30.

cients encountered in food processes. ASHRAE Transactions 86(2): 235-

- ΔT = temperature difference
- $\varepsilon = \text{porosity}$

260.

- $\theta = time$
- Λ = thermal conductivity ratio = k_1/k_2
- v = kinematic viscosity ρ = density of food item ρ_1 = density of component 1

nal of Research 23F: 338.

82: 525-535.

 $\rho_2 = \text{density of component } 2$

 ρ_i = density of *i*th food component

 σ = parameter given by Equation (33)

1998 ASHRAE Refrigeration Handbook

- Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996b. Bulk refrigeration of fruits and vegetables, Part I: Theoretical considerations of heat and mass transfer. *Int. J. of HVAC&R Research* 2(2): 122-134.
- Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996c. Bulk refrigeration of fruits and vegetables, Part II: Computer algorithm for heat loads and moisture loss. *Int. J. of HVAC&R Research* 2(3): 215-230.
- Becker, B.R., and B.A. Fricke. 1996a. Transpiration and respiration of fruits and vegetables. *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*. International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, France, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI, pp. 110-121.
- Becker, B.R., and B.A. Fricke. 1996b. Simulation of moisture loss and heat loads in refrigerated storage of fruits and vegetables. *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*. International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, France, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI, pp. 210-221.
- Bennett, A.H. 1963. Thermal characteristics of peaches as related to hydrocooling. *Technical Bulletin Number 1292* Washington, D.C.: USDA.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1964. Thermal conductivity of Valencia orange and Marsh grapefruit rind and juice vesicles. *ASHRAE Transactions* 70: 256-259.
- Bennett, A.H., J. Soule, and G.E. Yost. 1966. Temperature response of Florida citrus to forced-air precooling. ASHRAE Journal 8(4): 48-54.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1969. Heat transfer properties and characteristics of Appalachian area, red delicious apples. *ASHRAE Transactions* 75(2): 133.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1970. Thermal properties and heat transfer characteristics of Marsh grapefruit. *Technical Bulletin Number 1413* Washington, D.C.: USDA.
- Biale, J.B. 1960. Respiration of fruits. *Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology* 12: 536.
- Chang, H.D., and L.C. Tao. 1981. Correlations of enthalpies of food systems. *Journal of Food Science* 46: 1493.
- Chau, K.V., R.A. Romero, C.D. Baird, and J.J. Gaffney. 1987. Transpiration coefficients of fruits and vegetables in refrigerated storage. ASHRAE Report 370-RP. Atlanta: ASHRAE.
- Chen, C.S. 1985. Thermodynamic analysis of the freezing and thawing of foods: Enthalpy and apparent specific heat. *Journal of Food Science* 50: 1158.
- Choi, Y. and M.R. Okos. 1986. Effects of temperature and composition on the thermal properties of foods. In *Food Engineering and Process Applications*. ed. M. LeMaguer and P. Jelen. 1:93-101. London: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers.
- Chuma, Y., S. Murata, and S. Uchita. 1970. Determination of heat transfer coefficients of farm products by transient method using lead model. *Journal of the Society of Agricultural Machinery* 31(4): 298-302.
- Clary, B.L., G.L. Nelson, and R.E. Smith. 1968. Heat transfer from hams during freezing by low temperature air. *Transactions of the ASAE* 11: 496-499.
- Claypool, L.L., and F.W. Allen. 1951. The influence of temperature and oxygen level on the respiration and ripening of Wickson plums. *Hilgardea* 21: 129.
- Claypool, L.L., and S. Ozbek. 1952. Some influences of temperature and carbon dioxide on the respiration and storage life of the mission fig. *Proc. ASHS* 60: 266.
- Cleland, A.C., and R.L. Earle. 1976. A new method for prediction of surface heat transfer coefficients in freezing. *Bulletin de L'Institut International du Froid* Annexe 1976-1: 361-368.
- Dickerson, R.W., Jr. 1968. Thermal properties of food. *The Freezing Preservation of Foods*, 4th ed., Vol. 2, AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.
- Dickerson R.W., Jr., and R.B. Read, Jr. 1968. Calculation and measurement of heat transfer in foods. *Food Technology* 22: 37.
- Dickerson, R.W., Jr. 1969. Thermal properties of food. In *The Freezing Preservation of Foods*, 4th Edition, ed. D.K. Tressler, W.B. Van Arsdel, and M.J. Copley. 2: 27. Westport, CT: AVI Publishing Co.
- Dickerson, R.W., and R.B. Read. 1975. Thermal diffusivity of meats. ASHRAE Transactions 81(1): 356.
- Dincer, I. 1993. Heat-transfer coefficients in hydrocooling of spherical and cylindrical food products. *Energy* 18(4): 335-340.
- Dincer, I. 1994. Development of new effective Nusselt-Reynolds correlations for air-cooling of spherical and cylindrical products. *Int. J. of Heat* and Mass Transfer 37(17): 2781-2787.
- Eaks, J.L., and L.L. Morris. 1956. Respiration of cucumber fruits associated with physiological injury at chilling temperatures. *Plant Physiology* 31: 308.

- Eucken, A. 1940. Allgemeine Gesetzmassigkeiten fur das Warmeleitvermogen verschiedener Stoffarten und Aggregatzustande. Forschung auf dem Gebiete des Ingenieurwesens, Ausgabe A 11(1): 6.
- Fedorov, V.G., D.N. Il'Inskiy, O.A. Gerashchenko, and L.D. Andreyeva. 1972. Heat transfer accompanying the cooling and freezing of meat carcasses. *Heat Transfer—Soviet Research* 4: 55-59.
- Fikiin, K.A. 1996. Ice content prediction methods during food freezing: A Survey of the Eastern European Literature. In *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*, International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, France, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, Michigan, pp. 90-97.
- Fockens, F.H., and H.F.T. Meffert. 1972. Biophysical properties of horticultural products as related to loss of moisture during cooling down. J. Science of Food and Agriculture 23: 285-298.
- Gaffney, J.J., C.D. Baird, and K.V. Chau. 1985. Influence of airflow rate, respiration, evaporative cooling, and other factors affecting weight loss calculations for fruits and vegetables. ASHRAE Transactions 91(1B): 690-707.
- Gan, G., and J.L. Woods. 1989. A deep bed simulation of vegetable cooling. In Agricultural Engineering, ed. V.A. Dodd and P.M. Grace, pp. 2301-2308. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema.
- Gane, R. 1936. The thermal conductivity of the tissue of fruits. Annual Report, Food Investigation Board, Great Britain, 211.
- Geankoplis, C.J. 1978. Transport processes and unit operations. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Gerhardt, F., H. English, and E. Smith. 1942. Respiration, internal atmosphere, and moisture studies of sweet cherries during storage. *Proc.* ASHS 41: 119.
- Gore, H.C. 1911. Studies on fruit respiration. USDA Bur. Chem Bulletin, Vol. 142.
- Griffiths, E. and D.H. Cole. 1948. Thermal properties of meat. Society of Chemical Industry Journal 67: 33.
- Griffiths, E. and M.J. Hickman. 1951. The thermal conductivity of some nonmetallic materials. Institute of Mechanical Engineers, London, England, 289.
- Haller, M.H., P.L. Harding, J.M. Lutz, and D.H. Rose. 1932. The respiration of some fruits in relation to temperature. *Proc. ASHS* 28: 583.
- Haller, M.H., D.H. Rose, and P.L. Harding. 1941. Studies on the respiration of strawberry and raspberry fruits. USDA *Circular*, Vol. 613.
- Haller, M.H., et al. 1945. Respiration of citrus fruits after harvest. J. of Agricultural Research 71(8): 327.
- Harding, P.L. 1929. Respiration studies of grimes apples under various controlled temperatures. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science*. 26: 319.
- Harper, J.C. 1960. Microwave spectra and physical characteristics of fruit and animal products relative to freeze-dehydration. Report No. 6, Army Quartermaster Food and Container Institute for the Armed Forces, ASTIA AD 255 818, 16.
- Harper, J.C. 1962. Transport properties of gases in porous media at reduced pressures with reference to freeze-drying. *American Institute of Chemi*cal Engineering Journal 8(3): 298.
- Hawkins, L.A. 1929. Governing factors in transportation of perishable commodities. *Refrigerating Engineering* 18: 130.
- Hill, J.E. 1966. *The thermal conductivity of beef*. Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 49.
- Hill, J.E., J.D. Leitman, and J.E. Sunderland. 1967. Thermal Conductivity of Various Meats. *Food Technology* 21(8): 91.
- Holland, B., A.A. Welch, I.D. Unwin, D.H. Buss, A.A. Paul, and D.A.T. Southgate. 1991. *McCance and Widdowson's—The Composition of Foods*. Cambridge, U.K.: Royal Society of Chemistry and Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.
- Hooper, F.C., and S.C. Chang. 1952. Development of the Thermal Conductivity Probe. *Heating, Piping and Air Conditioning*, ASHVE 24(10): 125.
- Hruschka, H.W. 1966. Storage and Shelf Life of Packaged Rhubarb. USDA Marketing Research Report, 771.
- Hruschka, H.W., and C.Y. Want. 1979. Storage and Shelf Life of Packaged Watercress, Parsley, and Mint. USDA Marketing Research Report, 1102.
- International Institute of Refrigeration. 1967. Recommended Conditions for the Cold Storage of Perishable Produce, 2nd ed., Paris, France.
- Jason, A.C., and R.A.K. Long. 1955. The Specific Heat and Thermal Conductivity of Fish Muscle. Proceedings of the 9th International Congress of Refrigeration, Paris, France, 1: 2160.

- Jones, W.W. 1942. Respiration and Chemical Changes of Papaya Fruit in Relation to Temperature. *Plant Physiology* 17: 481.
- Karmarkar, D.V., and B.M. Joshe. 1941a. Respiration of Onions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 11: 82.
- Karmarkar, D.V., and B.M. Joshe. 1941b. Respiration Studies on the Alphonse Mango. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 11: 993.
- Kaye, G.W.C., and W.F. Higgins. 1928. The Thermal Conductivities of Certain Liquids. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, A117: 459.
- Kazarian, E.A. 1962. Thermal Properties of Grain. Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 74.
- Kelly, M.J. 1965. Heat Transfer in Fluidized Beds. Dechema Monographien 56: 119.
- Khatchaturov, A.B. 1958. Thermal Processes During Air-Blast Freezing of Fish. Bulletin of the IIR Annexe 1958-2: 365-378.
- Khelemskii, M.Z., and V.Z. Zhadan. 1964. Thermal Conductivity of Normal Beet Juice. Sakharnaya Promyshlennost 10: 11.
- Kondrat'ev, G.M. 1950. Application of the Theory of Regular Cooling of a Two-Component Sphere to the Determination of Heat Conductivity of Poor Heat Conductors (method, sphere in a sphere). Otdelenie Tekhnicheskikh Nauk, Isvestiya Akademii Nauk, USSR 4(April): 536.
- Kopelman, I.J. 1966. Transient Heat Transfer and Thermal Properties in Food Systems. Ph.D. Thesis. Michigan State University. East Lansing, MI.
- Kopelman, I., J.L. Blaisdell, and I.J. Pflug. 1966. Influence of Fruit Size and Coolant Velocity on the Cooling of Jonathan Apples in Water and Air. ASHRAE Transactions 72(1): 209-216.
- Leichter, S., S. Mizrahi, and I.J. Kopelman. 1976. Effect of Vapor Condensation on Rate of Warming Up of Refrigerated Products Exposed to Humid Atmosphere: Application to the Prediction of Fluid Milk Shelf Life. *Journal of Food Science* 41: 1214-1218.
- Leidenfrost, W. 1959. Measurements on the Thermal Conductivity of Milk. ASME Symposium on Thermophysical Properties, Purdue University, 291.
- Lentz, C.P. 1961. Thermal Conductivity of Meats, Fats, Gelatin Gels, and Ice. Food Technology 15(5): 243.
- Lentz, C.P. 1969. Calorimetric Study of Immersion Freezing of Poultry. Journal of the Canadian Institute of Food Technology 2(3): 132-136.
- Levy, F.L. 1981. A Modified Maxwell-Eucken Equation for Calculating the Thermal Conductivity of Two-Component Solutions or Mixtures. *Int. J. Refrig.* 4: 223-225.
- Lewis, D.A., and L.L. Morris. 1956. Effects of Chilling Storage on Respiration and Deterioration of Several Sweet Potato Varieties. *Proceedings of* the American Society for Horticultural Science 68: 421.
- Lipton, W.J. 1957. Physiological changes in Harvested Asparagus (Asparagus Officinales) as Related to Temperature. University of California at Davis.
- Long, R.A.K. 1955. Some Thermodynamic Properties of Fish and Their Effect on the Rate of Freezing. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 6: 621.
- Lutz, J.M. 1938. Factors Influencing the Quality of American Grapes in Storage. USDA *Technical Bulletin*, Vol. 606.
- Lutz, J.M. and R.E. Hardenburg. 1968. The Commercial Storage of Fruits, Vegetables, and Florists and Nursery Stocks. USDA Handbook 66.
- Mann, L.K. and D.A. Lewis. 1956. Rest and Dormancy in Garlic. *Hilgardia* 26: 161.
- Mathews, F.W., Jr., and C.W. Hall. 1968. Method of Finite Differences Used to Relate Changes in Thermal and Physical Properties of Potatoes. ASAE Transactions 11(4): 558.
- Maxie, E.C., F.G. Mitchell, and A. Greathead. 1959. Studies on Strawberry Quality. *California Agriculture* 13(2): 11, 16.
- Maxie, E.C., P.B. Catlin, and H.T. Hartmann. 1960. Respiration and Ripening of Olive Fruits. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science 75: 275.
- Metzner, A.B., and P.S. Friend. 1959. Heat Transfer to Turbulent non-Newtonian Fluids. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 51: 879.
- Micke, W.C., F.G. Mitchell, and E.C. Maxie. 1965. Handling Sweet Cherries for Fresh Shipment. *California Agriculture* 19(4): 12.
- Miles, C.A. 1974. In *Meat Freezing—Why and How?* Proceedings of the Meat Research Institute, Symposium No. 3, Bristol, 15.1-15.7.
- Miller, C.F. 1963. *Thermal Conductivity and Specific Heat of Sorghum Grain*. Texas Agricultural and Mechanical College, College Station, TX, 79.
- Minh, T.V., J.S. Perry, and A.H. Bennett. 1969. Forced-Air Precooling of White Potatoes in Bulk. ASHRAE Transactions 75(2): 148-150.

- Moote, I. 1953. The Effect of Moisture on the Thermal Properties of Wheat. Canadian Journal of Technology 31(2/3): 57.
- Morris, L.L. 1947. A Study of Broccoli Deterioration. *Ice and Refrigeration* 113(5): 41.
- Nicholas, R.C., K.E.H. Motawi, and J.L. Blaisdell. 1964. Cooling Rate of Individual Fruit in Air and in Water. *Quarterly Bulletin*, Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment Station 47(1): 51-64.
- Nowrey, J.E., and E.E. Woodams. 1968. Thermal Conductivity of a Vegetable Oil-in-Water Emulsion. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* 13(3): 297.
- Otten, L. 1974. Thermal Parameters of Agricultural Materials and Food Products. *Bulletin of the IIR* Annexe 1974-3: 191-199.
- Oxley, T.A. 1944. The Properties of Grain in Bulk; III—The Thermal Conductivity of Wheat, Maize and Oats. Society of Chemical Industry Journal 63: 53.
- Pantastico, E.B. 1974. Handling and Utilization of Tropical and Subtropical Fruits and Vegetables. *Postharvest Physiology*, Westport, CT: AVI Publishing Co., Inc.
- Parker, R.E., and B.A. Stout. 1967. Thermal Properties of Tart Cherries. *Transactions of the ASAE* 10(4): 489-491, 496.
- Pentzer, W.T., C.E. Asbury, and K.C. Hamner. 1933. The Effect of Sulfur Dioxide Fumigation on the Respiration of Emperor Grapes. *Proceedings* of the American Society for Horticultural Science 30: 258.
- Pham, Q.T. 1987. Calculation of Bound Water in Frozen Food. Journal of Food Science 52(1): 210-212.
- Polley, S.L., O.P. Snyder, and P. Kotnour. 1980. A Compilation of Thermal Properties of Foods. *Food Technology* 34(11): 76-94.
- Popov, V.D., and Y.A. Terentiev. 1966. Thermal Properties of Highly Viscous Fluids and Coarsely Dispersed Media. *Teplofizicheskie Svoistva Veshchestv, Akademiya Nauk, Ukrainskoi SSSR, Respublikanskii Sbornik* 18: 76.
- Poppendick, H.F. et al. 1965-66. Annual Report on Thermal and Electrical Conductivities of Biological Fluids and Tissues. ONR Contract 4094 (00), A-2, GLR-43 Geoscience Ltd., 39.
- Pratt, H.K., L.L. Morris, and C.L. Tucker. 1954. Temperature and Lettuce Deterioration. *Proceedings of the Conference on Transportation of Perishables*, University of California at Davis, 77.
- Pratt, H.K., and L.L. Morris. 1958. Some Physiological Aspects of Vegetable and Fruit Handling. *Food Technology in Australia* 10: 407.
- Qashou, MS., G. Nix, R.I. Vachon, and G.W. Lowery. 1970. Thermal Conductivity Values for Ground Beef and Chuck. *Food Technology* 23(4): 189.
- Qashou, M.S., R.I. Vachon, and Y.S. Touloukian. 1972. Thermal Conductivity of Foods. ASHRAE Transactions 78(1): 165-183.
- Radford, R.D., L.S. Herbert, and D.A. Lorett. 1976. Chilling of Meat—A Mathematical Model for Heat and Mass Transfer. *Bulletin de L'Institut International du Froid* Annexe 1976-1: 323-330.
- Rappaport, L., and A.E. Watada. 1958. Effects of Temperature on Artichoke Quality. Proceedings of the Conference on Transportation of Perishables, University of California at Davis, 142.
- Riedel, L. 1949. Thermal Conductivity Measurements on Sugar Solutions, Fruit Juices and Milk. *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik* 21(17): 340-341.
- Riedel, L. 1951. The Refrigeration Effect Required to Freeze Fruits and Vegetables. *Refrigeration Engineering* 59: 670.
- Riedel, L. 1956. Calorimetric Investigation of the Freezing of Fish Meat. *Kaltetechnik* 8: 374-377.
- Riedel, L. 1957. Calorimetric Investigation of the Meat Freezing Process. *Kaltetechnik* 9(2): 38-40.
- Riedel, L. 1957. Calorimetric Investigation of the Freezing of Egg White and Yolk. *Kaltetechnik* 9: 342.
- Riedel, L. 1959. Calorimetric Investigations of the Freezing of White Bread and Other Flour Products. *Kaltetechnik* 11(2): 41.
- Riedel, L. 1969. Measurements of Thermal Diffusivity on Foodstuffs Rich in Water. *Kaltetechnik* 21(11): 315-316.
- Reidy, G.A. 1968. Values for Thermal Properties of Foods Gathered from the Literature. Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing.

Ryall. A.L., and W.J. Lipton. 1972. Vegetables as Living Products. Respiration and Heat Production. In *Transportation and Storage of Fruits and Vegetables*, Vol. 1, Westport, CT: AVI Publishing Co.

- Saravacos, G.D. 1965. Freeze-drying Rates and Water Sorption of Model Food Gels. *Food Technology* 19(4): 193.
- Saravacos, G.D., and M.N. Pilsworth. 1965. Thermal Conductivity of Freeze-Dried Model Food Gels. *Journal of Food Science* 30: 773.

- Sastry, S.K., C.D. Baird, and D.E. Buffington. 1978. Transpiration Rates of Certain Fruits and Vegetables. *ASHRAE Transactions* 84(1).
- Sastry, S.K., and D.E. Buffington. 1982. Transpiration Rates of Stored Perishable Commodities: A Mathematical Model and Experiments on Tomatoes. ASHRAE Transactions 88(1): 159-184.
- Schenk, R.U. 1959. Respiration of Peanut Fruit During Curing. *Proceedings* of the Association of Southern Agricultural Workers 56: 228.
- Schenk, R.U. 1961. Development of the Peanut Fruit. *Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin N.S.*, Vol. 22.
- Scholz, E.W., H.B. Johnson, and W.R. Buford. 1963. Heat Evolution Rates of Some Texas-Grown Fruits and Vegetables. *Rio Grande Valley Horticultural Society Journal* 17: 170.
- Schwartzberg, H.G. 1976. Effective heat capacities for the freezing and thawing of food. *Journal of Food Science* 41(1): 152-156.
- Schwartzberg, H.G. 1981. Mathematical analysis of the freezing and thawing of foods, Tutorial presented at the AIChE Summer Meeting, Detroit, Michigan.
- Siebel, J.E. 1892. Specific heat of various products. *Ice and Refrigeration* 256.
- Slavicek, E., K. Handa, and M. Kminek. 1962. Measurements of the thermal diffusivity of sugar beets. *Cukrovarnicke Listy*, Vol. 78, Czechoslovakia, 116.
- Smith, F.G., A.J. Ede, and R. Gane. 1952. The Thermal Conductivity of Frozen Foodstuffs. *Modern Refrigeration* 55: 254.
- Smith, R.E., A.H. Bennett, and A.A. Vacinek. 1971. Convection Film Coefficients Related to Geometry for Anomalous Shapes. *Transactions of the* ASAE 14(1): 44-47.
- Smith, R.E., G.L. Nelson, and R.L. Henrickson. 1976. Analyses on Transient Heat Transfer from Anomalous Shapes. ASAE Transactions 10(2): 236.
- Smith, W.H. 1957. The Production of Carbon Dioxide and Metabolic Heat by Horticultural Produce. *Modern Refrigeration* 60: 493.
- Smith, W.H. 1964. The Storage of Mushrooms. Ditton and Covent Garden Laboratories Annual Report, Great Britain Agricultural Research Council, 18.
- Smith, W.H. 1966. The Storage of Gooseberries. Ditton and Covent Garden Laboratories Annual Report, Great Britain Agricultural Research Council, 13.
- Spells, K.E. 1958. The Thermal Conductivities of Some Biological Fluids. Flying Personnel Research Committee, Institute of Aviation Medicine, Royal Air Force, Farnborough, England, FPRC-1071 AD 229 167, 8.
- Spells, K.E. 1960-61. The Thermal Conductivities of Some Biological Fluids. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 5: 139.
- Sweat, V.E. 1974. Experimental Values of Thermal Conductivity of Selected Fruits and Vegetables. *Journal of Food Science* 39: 1080.

- Sweat, V.E. 1985. Thermal Properties of Low- and Intermediate-Moisture Food. ASHRAE Transactions 91(2): 369-389.
- Tchigeov, G. 1979. Thermophysical Processes in Food Refrigeration Technology. Food Industry, Moscow, 272 pp.
- Tewfik, S., and L.E. Scott. 1954. Respiration of Vegetables as Affected by Postharvest Treatment. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2:415.
- Thompson, H., S.R. Cecil, and J.G. Woodroof. 1951. Storage of Edible Pea-
- nuts. Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin, Vol. 268.
 Triebes, T.A., and C.J. King. 1966. Factors Influencing the Rate of Heat Conduction in Freeze-Drying. I and EC Process Design and Development 5(4): 430.
- Turrell, F.M., and R.L. Perry. 1957. Specific Heat and Heat Conductivity of Citrus Fruit. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science 70: 261.
- USDA. 1975. Composition of Foods. Agricultural Handbook No. 8. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- USDA. 1996. Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 11. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- Van den Berg, L., and C.P. Lentz. 1957. Factors Affecting Freezing Rates of Poultry Immersed in Liquid. Food Technology 11(7): 377-380.
- Van den Berg, L. and C.P. Lentz. 1972. Respiratory Heat Production of Vegetables During Refrigerated Storage. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 97: 431.
- Wachsmuth. R. 1892. Untersuchungen auf dem Gebiet der inneren Warmeleitung. Annalen der Physik 3(48): 158.
- Walters, R.E., and K.N. May. 1963. Thermal Conductivity and Density of Chicken Breast Muscle and Skin. Food Technology 17(June): 130.
- Watada, A.E., and L.L. Morris. 1966. Effect of Chilling and Nonchilling Temperatures on Snap Bean Fruits. *Proceedings of the American Society* for Horticultural Science 89: 368.
- Watt, B.K., and A.L. Merrill. 1963. Composition of Foods. USDA Handbook 8.
- Weber, H.F., VII. 1880. Untersuchungen Uber die Warmeleitung in Flussigkeiten. Annael der Physik 10(3): 304.
- Weber, H.F. 1886. The Thermal Conductivity of Drop Forming Liquids. Exner's Reportorium 22: 116.
- Woodams, E.E. 1965. Thermal Conductivity of Fluid Foods. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 95.
- Workman, M., and H.K. Pratt. 1957. Studies on the Physiology of Tomato Fruits; II, Ethylene Production at 20°C as related to Respiration, Ripening and Date of Harvest. *Plant Physiology* 32: 330.
- Wright, R.C., D.H. Rose, and T.H. Whiteman. 1954. The Commercial Storage of Fruits, Vegetables, and Florists and Nursery Stocks. USDA Handbook 66.